Schubert slices in the combinatorial geometry of flag domains

by

Ana-Maria Brecan

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in Mathematics

Dissertation Committee:

Prof. Dr. Alan Huckleberry
School of Engineering and Science, Jacobs University Bremen, Germany

Prof. Dr. Ivan Penkov
School of Engineering and Science, Jacobs University Bremen, Germany

Prof. Dr. Joseph A. Wolf
Departement of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, USA

Date of Defense: October 20th, 2014

School of Engineering and Science

arXiv:1411.0202v1 [math.AG] 2 Nov 2014

Statutory Declaration

I, Ana-Maria Brecan, hereby declare that I have written this PhD thesis independently, unless where clearly stated otherwise. I have used only the sources, the data and the support that I have clearly mentioned. This PhD thesis has not been submitted for conferral of degree elsewhere.

Bremen, November 4, 2014

Signature _____

Abstract

Flag domains are open orbits of real semisimple Lie groups in flag manifolds of their complexifications. Certain group theoretically defined compact complex submanifolds, which are regarded as cycles, are of basic importance for their complex geometric and representation theoretic properties. It is known that there are optimal Schubert varieties which intersect the cycles transversally in finitely many points and in particular determine them in homology. Here we give a precise description of these Schubert varieties in terms of certain subsets of the Weyl group and compute their total number for all the real forms of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, we give an explicit description of the points of intersection in terms of flags and their number.

To my father for allowing me to think about mathematics and life

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my PhD adviser Prof. Dr. Alan Huckleberry for offering me the opportunity to carry out my PhD work under his valuable guidance. Prof. Huckleberry has been a continuous source of inspiration not only for me but for many of my colleagues and friends. I greatly appreciate his endless support and advice.

I am very thankful to Prof. Dr. Ivan Penkov and Prof. Dr. Joseph A. Wolf who agreed to review my thesis and also for their time and interest in accepting to be in my oral defense committee.

I would like to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financially supporting this PhD project.

I would like to thank both Prof. Alan Huckleberry and Prof. Ivan Penkov for organising such a lively seminar in Algebra, Lie theory and Geometry and making my time as a graduate student at Jacobs University Bremen a very fruitful one.

In addition, I am grateful to Johanna Hennig, Dr. Keivan Mallahi-Karai, Prof. Dr. Vera Serganova and Prof. Dr. Gregg Zuckerman for discussing my research ideas and thoughts and to Prof. Dr. Peter Oswald for his helpful advice during my first year as a graduate student.

Writing my thesis was not all about doing mathematical research, but it also included learning a lot about myself. Irina Chiaburu, Victoria Glasenapp, Ioana Ivanciu, Christina Moeller and Marie-Luise Rose, you kept my spirits up and made me laugh, thank you for that and all the happy moments spent together. As to my family, I leaned on you for support and you offered your advice which I gladly took. I appreciate everything that you have done for me.

I wish to express my warm thanks to all the students, college office team, college masters and managers, resident associates and friends in College Nordmetall, my home away from home. I truly enjoyed the time spent as a resident associate of the college together with our team Sanja, Mircea and Pavel.

Utz Ermel, I am most grateful and extremely happy that you were and are by my side, helping me in so many different ways, while loving me so fully.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
- 1.1 Background 1
- 1.2 Structure 3

2 The case of the real form $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ **5**
- 2.1 Preliminaries 5
- 2.2 Dimension-related computations 7
- 2.3 Introduction to the combinatorics 8
- 2.4 Main results for $Z = G/B$ 10
- 2.5 Results in the measurable case 15
- 2.6 Results in the non-measurable case 19

3 The case of the real form $SL(m, \mathbb{H})$ **25**
- 3.1 Preliminaries 25
- 3.2 Introduction to the combinatorics 26
- 3.3 Main results for $Z = G/B$ 27
- 3.4 Results in the measurable case 35
- 3.5 Results in the non-measurable case 38

4 The case of the real form $SU(p, q)$ **43**
- 4.1 Preliminaries 43
- 4.2 Dimension-related computations 44
- 4.3 Introduction to the combinatorics 46
- 4.4 Main results for $Z = G/B$ 50
- 4.5 Main results for $Z = G/P$ 59

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Here we deal with complex flag manifolds $Z = G/P$, with G a complex semisimple Lie group and P a complex parabolic subgroup, and consider the action of a real form G_0 of G on Z. The real form G_0 is the connected real Lie group associated to the fixed point Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of an antilinear involution $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$. It is known that G_0 has only finitely many orbits in Z and therefore it has at least one open orbit. These and many other results relevant at the foundational level are proved in [Wol1]. They are also summarised in the research monograph [FHW]. Our work here is motivated by recent developments in the theory of cycle spaces of such a flag domain D. These arise as follows. Consider a choice K_0 of a maximal compact subgroup of G_0, i.e. K_0 is given by the fixed point set of a Cartan involution $\theta : G_0 \to G_0$. Then K_0 has a unique orbit in $D \subset Z$, denoted by C_0, which is a complex submanifold of D. Equivalently, if K denotes the complexification of K_0, one could look at C_0 as the unique minimal dimensional closed K-orbit in D. If $\dim C_0 = q$, then C_0 can be regarded as a point in the Barlet space associated to D, namely $C^q(D)$, [Bar]. By definition, the objects of this space are formal linear combinations $C = n_1C_1 + \cdots + n_kC_k$, with positive integral coefficients, where each C_j is an irreducible q-dimensional compact subvariety of D. In this context C_0 is called the *base cycle* associated to K_0. It is known that $C^q(D)$ is smooth at C_0 and thus one can talk about the irreducible component of $C^q(D)$ that contains C_0.

It is a basic method of Barlet and Koziarz, [BK], to transform functions on transversal slices to the cycles to functions on the cycle space. In the case at hand these transversal slices can be given using a special type of Schubert varieties which are defined with the help of the Iwasawa decomposition of G_0 (see part II of [FHW] and the references therein). Recall that this is a global decomposition that exhibits



Figure 1.1: Graphic representation of Theorem 1.

G_0 as a product $K_0A_0N_0$, where each of the members of the decomposition are closed subgroups of G_0, K_0 is a maximal compact subgroup and A_0N_0 is a solvable subgroup. The Iwasawa decomposition is used to describe a type of Borel subgroups of G which in a sense are as close to being real as possible. We define an *Iwasawa-Borel* subgroup B_I of G to be a Borel subgroup that contains an Iwasawa-component A_0N_0 and we call the closure of an orbit of such a B_I in Z an *Iwasawa-Schubert variety*. The Iwasawa-Borel subgroup can be equivalently obtained at the level of complex groups as follows. If (G, K) is a symmetric pair, i.e. K is defined by a complex linear involution, and $P = MAN$ where as usual M is the centraliser of A in K, then any such B_I is given by choosing a Borel subgroup in M and adjoining it to AN.

The following result, [FHW, p.101-104], has provided the motivation for our work.

Theorem 1. *If S is an Iwasawa-Schubert variety such that $\dim S + \dim C_0 = \dim D$ and $S \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$ then the following hold:*

1. *S intersects C_0 in only finitely many points $z_1, \ldots, z_{d_s}$,*

2. *For each point of intersection the orbits $A_0N_0.z_j$ are open in S and closed in D,*

3. *The intersection $S \cap C_0$ is transversal at each intersection point z_j.*

For the next steps in this general area, for example computing in concrete terms the trace transform indicated above, we feel that it is important to understand precisely the combinatorial geometry of this situation. This means in particular to describe precisely which Schubert varieties intersect the base cycle C_0, their points of intersection and the number of these points. In particular such results will describe the base cycle C_0 (or any cycle in the corresponding cycle space, see [FHW, p.104]) in the homology ring of the flag manifold Z. We have done this for the classical semisimple Lie group $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and its real forms $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$, $SU(p,q)$ and $SL(m,\mathbb{H})$ using methods which would seem sufficiently general to handle all classical semisimple Lie groups. The description of the Schubert varieties is formulated combinatorially in terms of elements of the Weyl group of G. Interesting combinatorial conditions arise and the tight correspondence in between combinatorics and geometry is made explicit.

1.2 Structure

The work here is organised in three chapters, each of them describing the results for a particular real form of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$.

• **Chapter 1:** Here we are concerned with the real form $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ where up to orientation we have only one open orbit. In the case of the full flag manifold the Weyl group elements that parametrize the Schubert varieties of interest can be obtained from a simple game that chooses pairs of consecutive numbers from the ordered set $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Moreover, their total number is also a well-known number, the double-factorial. Surprisingly, the number of intersection points with the base cycle does not depend on the Schubert variety and in each case it is $2^{n/2}$. The main results are presented in Theorem 8 and Theorem 10. In the case of the partial flag manifold the results depend on whether or not the open orbit is measurable. In the measurable case the main results are found in Theorem 14 and Theorem 15, and in the non-measurable case in Proposition 19.

• **Chapter 2:** The real form in this case is $SL(m,\mathbb{H})$. In terms of methods of study our work here is similar to that for $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$, although as in the case of $SU(p,q)$ the intersection occurs at precisely one point, independent of the chosen Schubert variety. For a statement of results in the G/B case see Theorem 23 and Theorem 25. For the partial flag manifold, the main results in the measurable case are found in Theorem 29 and Theorem 31 and in the non-measurable case in Proposition 32.

• **Chapter 3:** This chapter is concerned with the case of the real form $SU(p,q)$. Due to the fact that this group has a large number of open orbits in, e.g. G/B, in

a sense this situation is more interesting than that for the other real forms. An explicit algorithm which gives the parametrization of the Schubert varieties in terms of Weyl group elements is provided. Unlike the case of the real form $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, where the number of intersection points is huge, in the case of $SU(p, q)$ there is only one point of intersection, independent of the open orbit or the Schubert variety of interest. The main results for the full flag manifold are presented in Theorem 39 and Theorem 41 and the results for the partial flag manifold are presented in Theorem 45. In this case flag domains are automatically measurable. As a last remark we run the algorithm for maximal parabolics to obtain explicit formulas for the total number of Schubert varieties.

Chapter 2

The case of the real form $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$

2.1 Preliminaries

Let $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and P be a parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to a dimension sequence $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s)$ with $d_1 + \cdots + d_s = n$, i.e. P is given by block upper triangular matrices of sizes d_1 up to d_s, respectively. Recall that in this case the flag manifold $Z = G/P$ can be identified with the set of all *partial flags of type* d, namely $\{V : 0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s = \mathbb{C}^n\}$, where $\dim(V_i/V_{i-1}) = d_i$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq s$, $\dim V_0 = 0$. Equivalently, Z can be defined with the help of the sequence $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s)$, with $\delta_i := \sum_{k=1}^{i} d_k = \dim V_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. If $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is the standard basis in $\mathbb{C}^n$, the flags consisting of subspaces spanned by elements of this basis are called *coordinate flags*. In the particular case when each $d_i = 1$ we have a complete flag and the corresponding full flag variety is identified with the homogeneous space $\hat{Z} = G/B$, with B the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in G. In terms of the dimension sequence d we have that $\dim Z = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} d_i d_j$. For each d a fibration $\pi : \hat{Z} \to Z$ is defined by sending a complete flag to its corresponding partial flag of type d.

Let us look at $\mathbb{C}^n$ equipped with the standard real structure $\tau : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$, $\tau(v) = \overline{v}$ and the standard non-degenerate complex bilinear form $b : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, $b(v, w) = v^t \cdot w$ and view G as the group of complex linear transformations on $\mathbb{C}^n$ of determinant 1. Moreover, let $h : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be the standard Hermitian form defined by $h(v, w) = b(\tau(v), w) = \overline{v}^t \cdot w$. It follows that $G_0 := \{A \in G : \tau \circ A = A \circ \tau\} = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. If θ denotes both the Cartan involution on G_0 and on G defined by $\theta(A) = (A^{-1})^t$, then $K_0 := SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ and its complexification $K := SO(n, \mathbb{C})$ are both obtained as fixed points of the respective θ's. Fix the Iwasawa decomposition $G_0 = SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = K_0 A_0 N_0$, where $A_0 N_0$ are the upper triangular matrices with positive diagonal entries in $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, in this special case, the Iwasawa Borel subgroup B_I is just the

standard Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$.

The following definitions give a geometric description in terms of flags of the open G_0-orbits in Z and the base cycles associated to this open orbits. These results can be found in [HS] and [HW].

Definition 2. *A flag $z = (0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s \subset \mathbb{C}^n)$ in $Z = Z_d$ is said to be τ-**generic** if $dim(V_i \cap \tau(V_j)) = max\{0, \delta_i + \delta_j - n\}$, $\forall 1 \leq i,j \leq s$. In other words, these dimensions should be minimal.*

Note that in the case of $Z = G/B$ the condition of τ-genericity is equivalent to

$$\tau(V_j) \oplus V_{n-j} = \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq [n/2].$$

Definition 3. *A flag $z = (0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s \subset \mathbb{C}^n)$ in $Z = Z_d$ is said to be **isotropic** if either $V_i \subseteq V_j^{\perp}$ or $V_i^{\perp} \subseteq V_j$, $\forall 1 \leq i,j \leq s$. In other words,*

$$dim(V_i \cap V_j^{\perp}) = min\{\delta_i, n - \delta_j\}.$$

Note that in the case of $Z = G/B$ and $m = [n/2]$, the isotropic condition on flags is equivalent to $V_i \subset V_i^{\perp}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $V_m = V_m^{\perp}$, if n is even and the subspaces V_{n-i} are determined by $V_{n-i} = V_i^{\perp}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

If $n = 2m+1$ the unique open G_0-orbit is described by the set of τ-generic flags. If $n = 2m$ a notion of orientation arises on $\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is independent on the choice of basis. Since G_0 preserves orientation in this case we have two open orbits defined by the set of positively oriented τ-generic flags and by the set of negatively oriented $\tau-$generic flags. One can define a map that reverses orientation and interchanges the two open orbits. It is therefore enough to only consider the open orbit defined by the positively-oriented flags. In each of the open orbits the base cycle C_0 is characterised by the set of isotropic flags.

Finally, recall the definition of Schubert varieties in a general flag manifold $Z = G/P$ and a few interesting properties in order to establish notation. In general, for a fixed Borel subgroup B of G, a B-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in Z is called a Schubert cell and the closure of such an orbit is called a Schubert variety. A Schubert cell $\mathcal{O}$ in Z is parametrized by an element w of the Weyl group of G and Z is the disjoint union of finitely many such Schubert cells. Furthermore, the integral homology ring of Z, $H_*(Z,\mathbb{Z})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by the set of Schubert varieties.

If $G = SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and T is the maximal torus of diagonal matrices in G, then the Weyl group of G with respect to T can be identified with Σ_n, the permutation

group on n letters. Moreover, the complete coordinate flags in G/B are in $1-1$ correspondence with elements of Σ_n. Given a complete coordinate flag

$$< e_{i_1} > \subset \cdots \subset < e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_k} > \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{C}^n,$$

one can define a permutation w by $w(k) = i_k$ for all k and viceversa. The complete coordinate flags are also in $1-1$ correspondence with permutation matrices in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Given an element $w \in \Sigma_n$ one obtains a permutation matrix with column i^{th} equal to $e_{w(i)}$ for each i. For this reason we use the symbol w for both an element of Σ_n in one line notation, i.e. w(1)w(2)... w(n), or for the corresponding permutation matrix. It will be clear from the context to which kind of representation we are referring to.

The fixed points of the maximal torus T in G/B are the coordinate flags V_w for $w \in W$ and G/B is the disjoint union of the Schubert cells $\mathcal{O}_w := BV_w$, where $w \in W$. Since $SL(n, \mathbb{C})/B$ is isomorphic to $GL(n, C)/B'$, where B' are the upper triangular matrices in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ we have another useful way of visualising Schubert cells via their matrix canonical form. If $\mathcal{O}_w$ is a given Schubert cell parametrized by $w \in W$, then it can be represented as the matrix Bw in which the lowest nonzero entry in each column is a 1 (on the i^{th} column the 1 lies on row $w(i)$) and the entries to the right of each leading 1 are all zero. What is basically done is filling the permutation matrix with $*$'s above each 1, having in mind the rule that to the right of each 1 the elements must be zero. This leads us to the observation that the dimension of the Schubert cell $\mathcal{O}_w$ is given by the number of inversions in the permutation w, that is the length of w, or the number of $*$'s in the matrix representation.

Schubert cells and varieties can also be defined in G/P. Those will be indexed by elements of the coset $\Sigma_n/\Sigma_{d_1} \times \Sigma_{d_2} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{d_s}$ and each right coset contains a minimal representative, i.e. a unique permutation w such that $w(1) < \cdots < w(d_1), w(d_1+1) < \cdots < w(d_1+d_2), \ldots, w(d_1+\ldots d_{s-1}+1) < \cdots < w(d_1+\cdots+d_s) = w(n)$. The dimension of the Schubert cell $C_{wP} := BwP/P$ is the length of the minimal representative w and there is a unique lift to a Schubert cell in G/B of the same dimension, namely $\mathcal{O}_w := BwB/B$. When working with $\mathcal{O}_{wP}$ we can thus use the same matrix representation as for $\mathcal{O}_w$ and many times we will refer to $\mathcal{O}_{wP}$ just by $\mathcal{O}_w$.

2.2 Dimension-related computations

It is important for our discussion to compute the dimension of the base cycle and of the respective dual Schubert varieties in both the case of G/B and of G/P. In

the case when B is the standard Borel subgroup in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $K = SO(n,\mathbb{C})$, the cycle C_0 is a compact complex submanifold of D represented in the form $C_0 = K.z_0 \cong K/(K \cap B_{z_0})$ for a base point $z_0 \in D$, where $K \cap B_{z_0}$ is a Borel subgroup of K. Since C_0 is a complex manifold

$$dim\, C_0 = dim\, T_{z_0}C_0 = dim\, \mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{z_0},$$

where $\mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra associated to K and $\mathfrak{b}$ is the Borel subalgebra associated to B. Thus in the case when $n = 2m$, $\dim C_0 = m^2 - m$ and the Schubert varieties of interest must be of dimension m^2. If $n = 2m+1$, then $\dim C_0 = m^2$ and the Schubert varieties of interest are among those of dimension $m^2 + m$.

2.3 Introduction to the combinatorics

The next two sections give a full description of the Schubert varieties of interest that intersect the base cycle C_0, the points of intersection and their number, in the case of an open $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$-orbit D in Z. The first case to be considered is the case of $Z = G/B$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_{C_0} := \{S_w \text{ Schubert variety } : dimS_w + dimC_0 = dimZ \text{ and } S_w \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset\}.$$

In what follows we describe the conditions that the element w of the Weyl group that parametrizes the Schubert variety S_w must satisfy in order for S_w to be in S_{C_0}. One of the main ingredients for this is the fact that $S_w \cap D \subset \mathcal{O}_w$ and the fact that if $S_w \cap D \neq \emptyset$, then $S_w \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, no Schubert variety of dimension less than the codimension of the base cycle intersects the base cycle. These are general results that can be found in [FHW, p.101-104]

Definition 4. *A permutation $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_* l_m \dots l_1$ is said to satisfy the **spacing condition** if $l_i < k_i$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq m$, where l_* is removed from the representation in the case $n = 2m$.*

For example, 265431 satisfies the spacing condition, while 261534 does not satisfy the spacing condition.

Definition 5. *A permutation $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_* l_m \dots l_1$ is said to satisfy the **double box contraction** condition if w is constructed by the **immediate predecessor algorithm**:*
• Start by choosing k_1 and $l_1 := k_1 - 1$ from the ordered set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. If we have chosen all the numbers up to k_i and l_i then to go to the step $i+1$ we make a choice of k_{i+1} and l_{i+1} from the ordered set $\{1, \dots, n\} - \{k_1, l_1, \dots, k_i, l_i\}$ in such a way that l_{i+1} sits inside the ordered set at the left of k_{i+1}.



Figure 2.1: Immediate predecessor algorithm example.

Remark that a permutation that satisfies the double box contraction automatically satisfies the spacing condition as well, but not conversely. For example, 256341 satisfies both the double box contraction and consequently the spacing condition while 265431 does not satisfy the double box contraction even though it satisfies the spacing condition.

Figure 2.1 is an example of how the immediate predecessor algorithm works in the case of building an element $w \in \Sigma_6$ which satisfies the double box contraction condition.

The next results are meant to establish a tight correspondence between the combinatorics of the Weyl group elements that parametrize the Schubert varieties in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ and the geometry of flags that describe the intersection points. Namely, we prove that the spacing condition on Weyl group elements corresponds to the $\tau-$generic condition on flags. Similarly, the double box contraction condition on Weyl group elements corresponds to the isotropic condition on flags.

2.4 Main results for $Z = G/B$

The first result of this section describes the Schubert varieties that intersect the base cycle independent of their dimension.

Proposition 6. *A Schubert variety S_w corresponding to a permutation*

$$w = k_1 \dots k_m l_* l_m \dots l_1,$$

where l_* is removed from the representation in the case $n = 2m$, has non-empty intersection with C_0 if and only if w satisfies the spacing condition.

Proof. We use the fact that if a Schubert variety intersects the open orbit D, then it also intersects the cycle C_0 and prove that S_w contains a τ-generic point if and only if w satisfies the spacing condition.

First assume that $l_i < k_i$ for $i \leq m$, $n = 2m$. Under this assumption we need to prove that

$$\tau(V_i) \oplus V_{2m-i} = \mathbb{C}^n \quad \forall i \leq m,$$

where V is an arbitrary flag in S_w. This is equivalent to showing that the matrix formed from the vectors generating $\tau(V_i)$ and V_{2m-i} has maximal rank . Form the following pairs of vectors $(v_i, \bar{v}_i)$ and the matrices

$$[v_1 \bar{v}_1 \dots v_i \bar{v}_i v_{i+1} \dots v_{2m-i}], \quad \forall i \leq m.$$

These are the matrices corresponding to

$$\tau(V_i) =< \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_i >$$

and

$$V_{2m-i} =< v_1, \dots, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots v_{2m-i} > .$$

We carry out the following set of operations keeping in mind that the rank of a matrix is not changed by row or column operations. The initial matrix is the canonical matrix representation of the Schubert cell $\mathcal{O}_w$. For the step $j = 1$, $l_1 < k_1$ and the last column corresponding to l_1 is eliminated from the initial matrix.

Next denote by c_h the h^{th} column of this matrices and obtain the following:
On the second column c_2 zeros are created on all rows starting with k_1 and going down to row $l_1 + 1$. This is done by subtracting suitable multiplies of c_2 from the columns in the matrix having a 1 on these rows and putting the result on c_2. For example to create a zero on the spot corresponding to k_1 the second column

is subtracted from the first column and the result is left on the second column. On row l_1 a 1 is created by normalizing. This 1 is the only 1 on row l_1, because the last column that contained a 1 on row l_1 was removed from the matrix. We now want to create zeros on c_j for $j > 2$ on row l_1. It is enough to consider those columns which have 1's on rows greater than l_1, because the columns with 1's on rows smaller than l_1 already have zeros below them. Finally, subtract from these columns suitable multiplies of c_2. We thus create a matrix that represents points in the Schubert variety $k_1 l_1 k_2 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_2$, which obviously has maximal rank.

Assume by induction that we have created the maximal rank matrix corresponding to points in the Schubert variety $k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_j l_j k_{j+1} \dots k_m l_m \dots l_{j+1}$. To go to the step $j+1$ remove from the matrix the last column corresponding to l_{j+1} and add in between c_{2j+1} and c_{2j+2} the conjugate of c_{2j+1} and reindex the columns.

On column $c_{2(j+1)}$ zeros are created on all rows starting with k_{j+1} and going down to $l_{j+1}+1$ by subtracting suitable multiplies of $c_{2(j+1)}$ from the columns in the matrix having a 1 on this rows and putting the result on $c_{2(j+1)}$. Next a 1 is created on row $l_{2(j+1)}$ by normalisation. Again this is the only spot on row $l_{2(j+1)}$ with value 1, because the column which had a 1 on this spot was removed from the matrix. By subtracting suitable multiplies of $c_{2(j+1)}$ from columns having a 1 on spots greater than $l_{(j+1)}$, we create zeros on row l_{j+1} at the right of the 1 on column $c_{2(i+1)}$. We thus obtain points in the maximal rank matrix of the Schubert variety indexed by $k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_j l_j k_{j+1} l_{j+1} \dots k_m l_m \dots l_{j+2}$.

At step $j = m$ we obtain points in the maximal rank matrix of the Schubert variety indexed by $k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_m l_m$. For the odd dimensional case we insert in the middle a column corresponding to l_* and observe that this of course does not change the rank of the matrix.

Conversely, if the spacing condition is not satisfied let i be the smallest such that $k_i < l_i$ and look at the matrix $\tau(V_i) \oplus V_{2m-i}$. Then use the same reasoning as above to create a 1 on the spot in the matrix corresponding to row l_j and column $2j$ for all $j < i$ using our chose of i. Now there is a 1 on each row in the matrix except on row l_i. Column c_{2i} and c_{2i-1} both have a 1 on position k_i and zeros bellow. Since $l_i > k_i$ this implies that for each $j < i$ there exist a column in the matrix that has 1 on row j. Using these 1's we begin subtracting c_{2i} from suitable multiplies of each such column, starting with c_{2i-1} then going to the one that has 1 on the spot $k_i - 1$, then to the one the has 1 on the spot $k_i - 2$ and so on and at each step the result is left on c_{2i}. This creates zeros on all the column c_{2i} and proves that the matrix does not have maximal rank. □

Remark. For dimension computations, recall how to compute the length $|w|$, of an element w of Σ_n. Start with the number 1 and move it from its position toward

the left until it arrives at the beginning and associate to this its distance p_1 which is the number of other numbers it passes. Then move 2 to the left until it is adjacent from the right to 1 and compute p_2 in the analogous way. Continuing on compute p_i for each i and then the length of w is just $\sum p_i$.

Lemma 7. *If $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_* l_m \dots l_1$ satisfies the spacing condition and $|w| = m^2$ for the even dimensional case, or $|w| = m^2 + m$ for the odd dimensional case, then $l_1 = k_1 - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $l_1 < k_1 - 1$. Then there exist $j > 1$ such that $p_j = k_1 - 1$ sits on position j inside w. If p_j sits among the l's, then construct $\tilde{w}$ by making the transposition (j, n) that interchanges p_j and l_1. If p_j sits among the k's then construct $\tilde{w}$ by interchanging k_1 with p_j. Observe that $\tilde{w}$ still satisfies the spacing condition and $|\tilde{w}| \leq m^2 - 1$ since in the first case all elements smaller then $k_1 - 1$ (at least one element, namely l_1) do not need to cross over $k_1 - 1$ anymore. In the second case p_j does not need to cross over k_1 anymore and since $p_j = k_1 - 1$, the elements that need to cross k_1 on position j remain the same as the elements that needed to cross p_j in the initial permutation. But this contradicts the fact that no Schubert variety of dimension less than m^2 intersects the cycle.

For the odd dimensional case just add l_* to the representation and consider $|w| = m^2 + m$. The only case remaining to be considered is that where $l_* = k_1 - 1$. In this case we interchange l_* with l_1 and observe that this still satisfies the spacing condition and it is of dimension strictly smaller then $m^2 + m$. As above this implies a contradiction. □

Theorem 8. *A Schubert variety S_w belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ if and only if w satisfies the double box contraction condition. In particular, in this case w satisfies the spacing condition and $|w| = m^2$, for $n = 2m$, and $|w| = m^2 + m$.*

Proof. We prove the theorem using induction on dimension. The notation $w = (k, l_*, l)$ is used to represent the full sequence $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_* l_m \dots l_1$.
By the lemma above we see that l_1 must be defined by $l_1 := k_1 - 1$. Remove l_1 and k_1 from the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ to obtain a set Σ with $n - 2$ elements. Define a bijective map $\phi : \Sigma \to \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ by $\phi(x) = x$ for $x < l_1$ and $\phi(x) = x - 2$ for $x > k_1$. By induction one constructs all possible $\tilde{w} = (\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}_*, \tilde{l}) \in \Sigma_{n-2}$ using the immediate predecessor algorithm. Each such permutation parametrizes a Schubert variety $S_{\tilde{w}}$ in $S_{\tilde{C}_0}$.

Now return to the original situation by defining for each $\tilde{w}$ a corresponding $w \in \Sigma_n$ with $w(1) = k_1$, $w(n) = l_1$ and $w(i+1) = \phi^{-1}(\tilde{w}(i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. It is immediate that w satisfies the double box contraction condition. Hence it remains to compute $|(k, l_*, l)|$. Let $\tilde{p}_j$ be the distances for the permutation $|(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}_*, \tilde{l})|$. First

consider those elements ε of the full sequence (k, l_*, l) which are smaller than l_1 in particular which are smaller than k_1. In order to move them to their appropriate position one needs the number of steps $\tilde{p}_\varepsilon$ to do the same for their associated point in $(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}_*, \tilde{l})$ plus 1 for having to pass k_1. Thus in order to compute $|(k, l_*, l)|$ from $|(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}_*, \tilde{l})|$ we must first add $k_1 - 2$ to the former. Having done the above, we now move l_1 to its place directly to the left of k_1. This requires crossing $2m+1-(k_1-1)$ larger numbers in the odd dimensional case and $2m-(k_1-1)$ numbers in the even dimensional case. So together we have now added $2m$ in the odd dimensional case and $2m-1$ in the even dimensional case to $|(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}_*, \tilde{l})|$ and $|(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l})|$, respectively. All other necessary moves are not affected by the transfer to $|(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}_*, \tilde{l})|$ and back. So for those elements we have $\tilde{p}_\varepsilon = p_\varepsilon$ and it follows that

$$|(k, l_*, l)| = |(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}_*, \tilde{l})| + 2m = (m-1)^2 + (m-1) + 2m = m^2 + m,$$

in the odd dimensional case and

$$|(k, l)| = |(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l})| + 2m - 1 = (m-1)^2 + 2m - 1 = m^2,$$

in the even dimensional case. □

Corollary 9. *The number of Schubert varieties that intersect the cycle is the double factorial* $n!! = (n-1) \cdot (n-3) \cdot \cdots \cdot 1$.

Proof. Observe that k_1 can be arbitrary chosen from $\{2, \ldots, n\}$ and once it is chosen l_1 is fixed. This amounts to $(n-1)$ possibilities for the placement of k_1 and l_1. Now remove l_1 and k_1 from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ to obtain the set Σ with $n-2$ elements. As before define the bijective map $\phi : \Sigma \to \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\}$ by $\phi(x) = x$ for $x < l_1$ and $\phi(x) = x - 2$ for $x > k_1$. This gives us the sequence $(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}_*, \tilde{l})$ that satisfies our induction assumption and we thus obtain $(n-2-1) \cdot (n-2-3) \ldots 1$ Schubert varieties. Returning to our original situation one obtains the desired result. □

The next theorem gives a geometric description in terms of flags of the intersection points. The complete flags describing the intersection points are obtained in the following way from the Weyl group element that parametrizes S_w. In the case when $n = 2m+1$ and $w = k_1 \ldots k_m l_* l_m \ldots l_1$ the points of intersection are given by the following flags

$$\begin{aligned} &< (\pm i)e_{l_1} + e_{k_1} > \subset \cdots \subset < (\pm i)e_{l_1} + e_{k_1}, \ldots, (\pm i)e_{l_m} + e_{k_m}, e_{l_*} > \subset \\ &\quad < (\pm i)e_{l_1} + e_{k_1}, \ldots, (\pm i)e_{l_m} + e_{k_m}, e_{l_*}, e_{l_m} > \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{C}^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

In the case when $n = 2m$, the complete flags are given by the same expression with the exception that the span of e_{l_*} is removed from the representation. Of

course, one must not forget that the positively oriented flags correspond to one open orbit and the negatively oriented flags to the other, but since this are symmetric with respect to the map that reverses orientation we have the same number of intersection points with the base cycle independently of the chosen open orbit.

Theorem 10. *A Schubert variety S_w in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ intersects the base cycle C_0 in 2^m points in the case when $n = 2m+1$ and 2^{m-1} points in the case when $n = 2m$. The points are given by* (2.1).

Proof. Let $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_* l_m \dots l_1$ and consider the canonical form of $\mathcal{O}_w$ given by a matrix $[v_1 \dots v_n]$ with

$$v_i = \alpha_1^i e_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{w(i)-1}^i e_{w(i)-1} + e_{w(i)}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The isotropic condition on flags translates to the fact that each matrix $[v_1 \dots v_{n-i}]$ has the column vector v_i perpendicular to itself and all the other vectors in the matrix. Such a matrix exists for each $i \leq m$. Start with the initial matrix and for the first step $i = 1$ disregard the last column of the initial matrix, for the second step $i = 2$ disregard the last and the pre last column and go on until the step $i = m$ is reached. Looking at this process closely and imposing the isotropic conditions will give us an explicit description of all the intersection points.

For the step $j = 1$ the vector $v_n = \alpha_1^n e_1 + \cdots + e_{l_1}$, where $l_1 = k_1 - 1$, is disregarded from the matrix. If $l_1 = 1$ then we are done, because $v_1 = \alpha_1^1 e_1 + e_2$. From $v_1 \cdot v_1 = 0$ it follows that $\alpha_1^1 = \pm i$. If $l_1 > 1$, disregarding v_n will create a matrix that has among its columns all vectors that contain a 1 on entry p, where $1 \leq p < l_1$. Denote such column vectors with f_p. Using the relations $v_1 \cdot f_1 = 0, \dots, v_1 \cdot (f_{l_1-1}) = 0$, and computing step by step it follows that $\alpha_1^1 = 0, \dots, \alpha_{l_1-1}^1 = 0$. Now the only freedom left is on α_{l_1} and using $v_1 \cdot v_1 = 0$ it follows that $(\alpha_{l_1}^1)^2 + 1 = 0$. Therefore

$$v_1 = (\pm i) e_{l_1} + e_{k_1}.$$

The condition $v_1 \cdot v_p = 0$, for all $2 \leq p \leq n-1$, is equivalent to $\alpha_{l_1}^p \cdot (\pm i) = 0$, for all $2 \leq p \leq n-1$, which is further equivalent to $\alpha_{l_1}^p = 0$, for all $2 \leq p \leq n-1$. The elements α_p^n, for $1 \leq p \leq l_1 - 1$, are all zero, because in the initial canonical representation of $\mathcal{O}_w$ all columns with a 1 on the spot p, for $1 \leq p \leq l_1 - 1$, sit before v_n in the matrix and at the right of each such entry the row is completed with zeros.

For $j = 2$, v_n and v_{n-1} are removed from the initial matrix. From the *immediate predecessor* algorithm it follows that either $l_2 = k_2 - 1$ or $l_2 = l_1 - 1$ and $\alpha_{k_1}^2 = 0$. From the previous step $\alpha_{l_1}^2 = 0$. Therefore, even though in this step v_n was

removed from the matrix a zero was already created on row l_1 in v_2 in the previous step. Following the same algorithm as before we create zeros step by step starting with $v_2 \cdot f_1 = 0$ and going further to $v_2 \cdot f_p = 0$, where f_p is the column where a 1 sits on row p for all $1 \leq p \leq l_2 - 1$ exempt of l_1 and k_1 on which spots the values are already zero. The only freedom that remains is on the spot corresponding to l_2. Here, using $v_2 \cdot v_2 = 0$, it follows that

$$v_2 = (\pm i)e_{l_2} + e_{k_2}.$$

The condition $v_2 \cdot v_p = 0$, for all $3 \leq p \leq n-2$, is equivalent with $\alpha^p_{l_2} \cdot (\pm i) = 0$, for all $3 \leq p \leq n-2$ which is further equivalent with $\alpha^p_{l_2} = 0$, for all $3 \leq p \leq n-2$. The elements α^{n-1}_p, for $1 \leq p \leq l_2 - 1$, are all zero. The reason for this is that in the initial canonical representation of $\mathcal{O}_w$ all columns with a 1 on the spot p, for $1 \leq p \leq l_2 - 1$, (with the exception of $p = l_1$ where we have created a zero already) sit before v_{n-1} in the matrix. Moreover, at the right of each such entry the row is completed with zeros.

Assume that we have shown that $v_s = \pm i e_{l_s} + e_{k_s}$, for all $1 \leq s \leq j-1$, with $j \leq m$, that $\alpha^p_{l_s} = 0$, for all $s+1 \leq p \leq n-s$, and that $\alpha^{n-s+1}_p = 0$, for all $1 \leq p \leq l_s - 1$. To go to step j one usees the fact that l_j belongs to the set

$$\{k_j - 1, l_1 - 1, \ldots, l_{j-1} - 1\}$$

and repeats the procedure. In this case the columns v_s for $n - j + 1 \leq s \leq n$ are removed from the matrix. As before zeros are created step by step starting with $v_j \cdot f_1$ and going up to $v_j \cdot f_p$, where f_p is the column where a 1 sits on row p for all $1 \leq p \leq l_j - 1$, except of course when $p \in \{k_1, \ldots, k_{j-1}, l_1, \ldots l_{j-1}\}$ in which case even though the columns corresponding to this elements are not in the matrix their spots in column j were already made zero in the previous step.

It thus follows that the points of intersection $< v_1 > \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are given by the following flags $< (\pm i)e_{l_1} + e_{k_1} > \subset \cdots \subset < (\pm i)e_{l_1} + e_{k_1}, \ldots, (\pm i)e_{l_m} + e_{k_m}, e_{l_*} > \subset < (\pm i)e_{l_1} + e_{k_1}, \ldots, (\pm i)e_{l_m} + e_{k_m}, e_{l_*}, e_{l_m} > \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ □

It thus follows that the homology class $[C_0]$ of the base cycle inside the homology ring of Z is given in terms of the Schubert classes of elements in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ by:

$$[C_0] = 2^m \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}} [S], \text{ if } n = 2m+1, \text{ and } [C_0] = 2^{m-1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}} [S], \text{ if } n = 2m.$$

2.5 Results in the measurable case

This section treats the case when D is an open orbit in $Z = G/P$ and C_0 is the base cycle in D. Recall the notation

$$\mathcal{S}_{C_0} := \{S_w \text{ Schubert variety } : dim S_w + dim C_0 = dim Z \text{ and } S_w \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The main idea is to lift Schubert varieties $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ to minimal dimensional Schubert varieties $S_{\hat{w}}$ in $\hat{Z} := G/B$ that intersect the open orbit $\hat{D}$ and consequently the base cycle $\hat{C}_0$.

The first step is to consider the situation when D is a measurable open orbit. There are many equivalent ways of defining measurability in general. In our context however, this depends only on the dimension sequence d that defines the parabolic subgroup P. Namely, an open $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ orbit D in $Z = G/P$ is called *measurable* if P is defined by a symmetric dimension sequence as follows:

- $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ or $e = (d_1 \ldots, d_s, e', d_s \ldots d_1)$, for $n = 2m$,
- $e = (d_1 \ldots, d_s, e', d_s \ldots d_1)$, for $n = 2m+1$.

A general definition of measurability can be found in [FHW].

As discussed in the preliminaries, a Schubert variety S_w in Z is indexed by the minimal representative w of the parametrization coset associated to the dimension sequence defining P. Corresponding to the symmetric dimension sequence d, each such w can be divided into blocks B_j and $\tilde{B}_j$, both having the same number of elements d_j for $1 \leq i \leq s$. For example, $B_1 = (w(1) < w(2) < \cdots < w(d_1))$ and $\tilde{B}_1 = (w(d_1+\cdots+d_s+d_s+\cdots+d_2+1) < \cdots < w(n))$. The pairs $(B_j, \tilde{B}_j)$ are called **symmetric block pairs**. In the case of the symmetric dimension symbol e, one single block $B_{e'}$ of length e' is introduced in the middle of w. In what follows w will always correspond to a symmetric dimension sequence and it will satisfy the conditions of a minimal representative.

Definition 11. *A permutation w is said to satisfy the **generalized spacing condition**, if for each symmetric block pair $(B_j, \tilde{B}_j)$ the elements of $\tilde{B}_j$ can be arranged in such a way that if the elements of B_j are denoted by $k_1^j \ldots k_{d_j}^j$ and the rearranged elements of $\tilde{B}_j$ by $l_1^j \ldots l_{d_j}^j$, then $l_i^j < k_i^j$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d_j$.*

Observe that in the case of the symmetric dimension sequence e we can always rearrange the elements in the single block $B_{e'}$ so that they satisfy the spacing condition inside the block.

Definition 12. *A permutation $B_1 \ldots B_s B_{e'} \tilde{B}_s \ldots \tilde{B}_1$ is said to satisfy the **generalized double box contraction** condition if w is constructed by the **generalized immediate predecessor algorithm**:*
• The first symmetric block pair $(B_1 = (k_i^1), \tilde{B}_1 = (l_i^1))$ is constructed by choosing d_1 pairs (l_i^1, k_i^1) of consecutive numbers from the ordered set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
• If all symmetric block pairs up to $(B_j = (k_i^j), \tilde{B}_j = (l_i^j))$ have been chosen, then to go to the step $j+1$ choose d_{j+1} pairs (l_i^{j+1}, k_i^{j+1}) in such a way that l_i^{j+1} sits at the immediate left of k_i^{j+1} in the ordered set $\{1, \ldots, n\} - \{\cup_{i=1}^j B_i\} - \{\cup_{i=1}^j \tilde{B}_i\}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq d_{j+1}$.

Observe that in the case of the symmetric symbol e the elements in the single block $B_{e'}$ can always be rearranged so that they satisfy the double box contraction condition inside the block. The symbol $B_{e'}$ will always be written in a representation of w and disregarded in the case of the symbol d.

If w satisfies the generalized spacing condition, $\tilde{w}$ denotes the permutation obtain from w by replacing each block $\tilde{B}_j = l_1^j \dots l_{d_j}^j$ with a choice of rearrangement of its elements $\tilde{l}_1^j \dots \tilde{l}_{d_j}^j$ required so that w satisfies the generalized spacing condition. Further inside each rearranged block $\tilde{B}_j$ in $\tilde{w}$, $\tilde{l}_1^j \dots \tilde{l}_{d_j}^j$ is rewritten as $\tilde{l}_{d_j}^j \tilde{l}_{d_j-1}^j \dots \tilde{l}_1^j$. In the case of $B_{e'}$ being part of the representation of w the following rearrangement is chosen : if e' is even then $B_{e'}$ is rearranged as $l'_{e'/2+1}$ $l'_{e'/2+2} \dots l'_{e'} l'_1 \dots l'_{e'/2-1} l'_{e'/2}$ and if e' is odd then $B_{e'}$ is rearranged as $l'_{(e'+1)/2+1}$ $l'_{(e'+1)/2+2} \dots l'_{e'} l'_1 \dots l'_{(e'+1)/2-1} l'_{(e'+1)/2}$. Observe that now $\tilde{w}$ is a permutation that satisfies the spacing condition for the G/B case and it is of course also just another representative of the coset that parametrizes S_w.

Proposition 13. *A Schubert variety S_w parametrized by the permutation*

$$w = B_1 B_2 \dots B_s B_{e'} \tilde{B}_s \dots \tilde{B}_2 \tilde{B}_1$$

has non-empty intersection with C_0 if and only if w satisfies the generalized spacing condition, i.e. if and only if there exists a lift of S_w to a Schubert variety $\tilde{S}_{\tilde{w}}$ that intersects the base cycle in $Z = G/B$.

Proof. If w satisfies the generalized spacing condition, then by the above observation one can find another representative $\tilde{w}$ of the parametrization coset of S_w, that satisfies the spacing condition and thus a Schubert variety $S_{\tilde{w}}$ that intersects $\hat{C}_0$. Because the projection map π is equivariant it follows that $\pi(S_{\tilde{w}}) = S_w$ intersects C_0.
Conversely, suppose that $S_w \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$. Then for every point $p \in S_w \cap C_0$ there exist $\hat{p} \in S_{\tilde{w}} \cap \hat{C}_0$ with $\pi(\hat{p}) = p$ and $\pi(S_{\tilde{w}}) = S_w$ for some Schubert variety in G/B indexed by $\tilde{w}$. It follows that $\tilde{w}$ satisfies the spacing condition and w is obtained from $\tilde{w}$ by dividing $\tilde{w}$ into blocks $B_1 \dots B_s B_{e'} \tilde{B}_s \dots \tilde{B}_1$ and arranging the elements in each such block in increasing order. This shows that w satisfies the generalized spacing condition. □

If $w = B_{d_1} \dots B_{d_s} \tilde{B}_{d_s} \dots \tilde{B}_1$, with $\tilde{B}_{d_j} = l_1^j \dots l_{d_j}^j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$, then let $\hat{w} := B_{d_1} \dots B_{d_s} \tilde{C}_{d_s} \dots \tilde{C}_{d_1}$, where $\tilde{C}_{d_j} = l_{d_j}^j l_{d_j-1}^j \dots l_2^j l_1^j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$. If $B_{e'} = l'_1 \dots l'_{e'}$ is part of the representation of w, then let $\tilde{B}_{e'}$ be the single middle block in the representation of $\hat{w}$ defined by: $l'_{e'/2+1} l'_{e'/2+2} \dots l'_{e'} l'_1 \dots l'_{e'/2-1} l'_{e'/2}$ if e' is even and $l'_{(e'+1)/2+1} l'_{(e'+1)/2+2} \dots l'_{e'} l'_1 \dots l'_{(e'+1)/2-1} l'_{(e'+1)/2}$ if e' is odd. Call such a choice of rearrangement for w a **canonical rearrangement**.

Note that if $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ lifts to $S_{\hat{w}}$, such that $\hat{w}$ satisfies the double box contraction condition, then $\dim S_{\hat{w}} - \dim S_w = (\dim \hat{Z} - \dim \hat{C}_0) - (\dim Z - \dim C_0) = (\dim \hat{Z} - \dim Z) - (\dim \hat{C}_0 - \dim C_0)$. Since π is a G_0 and K_0 equivariant map, if F denotes the fiber of π over a base point $z_0 \in C_0$, then the fiber of $\pi|_{\hat{C}_0} : \hat{C}_0 \to C_0$ over z_0 is just $F \cap \hat{C}_0$ and $\dim S_{\hat{w}} - \dim S_w$ must equal $\dim F - \dim(F \cap \hat{C}_0)$.

As stated in the preliminaries in the case of $Z = G/B$ and $m = [n/2]$, the isotropic condition on flags is equivalent to $V_i \subset V_i^{\perp}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $V_m = V_m^{\perp}$, if n is even and the subspaces V_{n-i} are determined by $V_{n-i} = V_i^{\perp}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus in the case of the dimension sequence $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$, $\dim F - \dim(F \cap C_0)$ is equal to $2\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i - 1)/2 - \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i - 1)/2$ which is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i - 1)/2$. In the case when the dimension sequence is given by $e = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, e', d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ and the base point z_0 contains a middle flag of length e, it remains to add to the above number the difference in between the dimension of the total fiber over this flag and the dimension of the isotropic flags in this fiber. This is just a special case of the G/B case for a full flag of length e' and the number is $e'(e' - 1)/2 - (e'/2)^2 + (e'/2)$ which equals to $(e'/2)^2$ when e' is even and $e'(e' - 1)/2 - [(e' - 1)/2]^2$ which equals to $(e' - 1)(e' + 1)/2$, when e' is odd.

Now if w satisfies the generalized double box contraction condition, then $\hat{w}$ satisfies the double box contraction condition. Furthermore, by construction, it is immediate that if w satisfies the generalized double box contraction condition, then $|w| = |\hat{w}| - \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i - 1)/2$. That is because the block $\tilde{B}_{d_j}$ is formed by arranging the block $\tilde{C}_{d_j}$ in increasing order and thus crossing l_1^j over $d_j - 1$ numbers, and more generally l_i^j over $d_j - i$ numbers for all $1 \leq i \leq d_j$. Similarly, if e' is part of the representation of w and e' is even then $|w| = |\hat{w}| - \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i - 1)/2 - (e'/2)^2$ and if e' is odd then $|w| = |\hat{w}| - \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i - 1)/2 - (e' - 1)(e' + 1)/4$.

Theorem 14. *A permutation $w = B_1 B_2 \ldots B_s B_{e'} \tilde{B}_s \ldots \tilde{B}_2 \tilde{B}_1$ satisfies the generalized double box contraction condition if and only if w parametrizes an Iwasawa-Schubert variety $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ and the lifting map $f : \mathcal{S}_{C_0} \to \mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ defined by $S_w \mapsto S_{\hat{w}}$, with $\hat{w}$ the canonical rearrangement of w, is injective.*

Proof. If w satisfies the generalized double box contraction condition, then by the above observation the canonical rearrangement $\hat{w}$ of w is just another representative of the parametrization coset of S_w. Moreover, $\hat{w}$ satisfies the double box contraction condition and thus parametrizes a Schubert variety $S_{\hat{w}}$ that intersects $\hat{C}_0$. Because the projection map π is equivariant it follows that $\pi(S_{\hat{w}}) = S_w$ intersects C_0. From the remarks before the statement of the theorem it follows that if w satisfies the generalized double box contraction condition, then the difference in

dimensions in between $S_{\hat{w}}$ and S_w is achieved, and this happens when one needs to write the elements of each block $\tilde{B}_i$ in strictly decreasing order to form the block $\tilde{C}_j$.

Conversely, suppose that $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ but w does not satisfy the generalize double box contraction condition. It then follows that there exists a first block pair $(B_j, \tilde{B}_j)$ and a first pair (k_i^j, l_i^j), for some i in between 1 and d_j such that l_{i-1}^j sits at the immediate left of l_i^j and k_i^j sits at the immediate right of k_{i-1}^j in the ordered set $\{1, \ldots, n\} - \{\cup_{s=1}^{j-1} B_s\} - \{\cup_{s=1}^{j-1} \tilde{B}_s\}$. This means that when w is lifted to $\hat{w}$, the place of l_{i-1}^j and l_i^j remain the same because otherwise w will not satisfy the double box contraction condition. But this implies that the difference in between the length of $\hat{w}$ and the length of w is strictly smaller than what the difference $\dim S_{\hat{w}} - \dim S_w$ should be.

□

Theorem 15. *A Schubert variety S_w in S_{C_0} intersects the base cycle C_0 in $2^{d_1+\cdots+d_s}$ points in the case where w is given by a symmetric symbol $e = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, e, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ and $n = 2m + 1$, while in the even dimensional case we have 2^{m-1} points in the case $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ and $2^{d_1+\ldots d_s-1}$ in the case $e = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, e, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$.*

Proof. The result follows from the lifting principle, because the intersection points of S_w can be identified in a one-to-one manner with a subset of the intersection points of $S_{\hat{w}}$. Thus the cardinality of this subset can be directly computed. □

It thus follows that the homology class $[C_0]$ of the base cycle inside the homology ring of Z is given in terms of the Schubert classes of elements in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ by:

$$[C_0] = 2^{m-1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}} [S], \text{ if } n = 2m, \text{ and } Z = Z_d,$$

$$[C_0] = 2^{d_1+\cdots+d_s-1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}} [S], \text{ if } n = 2m, \text{ and } Z = Z_e,$$

$$[C_0] = 2^{d_1+\cdots+d_s} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}} [S], \text{ if } n = 2m+1, \text{ and } Z = Z_e.$$

2.6 Results in the non-measurable case

The last case to be considered is the case of $Z = G/P$ and $D \subset Z$ a non-measurable open orbit, i.e. the dimension sequence $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_u)$ that defines P is not symmetric. Associated to the flag domain D there exists its measurable model, a canonically defined measurable flag domain $\hat{D}$ in $\hat{Z} = G/\hat{P}$ together

with the projection map $\pi : \hat{Z} \to Z$. If $\hat{z}_0$ is a base point in $\hat{C}_0$, $z_0 = \pi(\hat{z}_0)$ and we denote by $\hat{F}$ the fiber over z_0, by H_0 and $\tilde{H}_0$ the isotropy of G_0 at z_0 and $\hat{z}_0$ respectively, then $\hat{F} \cap \hat{D} = H_0/\hat{H}_0$, is holomorphically isomorphic with $\mathbb{C}^k$, where k is root theoretically computable.

Moreover, if one considers the extension of the complex conjugation τ from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, defined by $\tau(s)(v) = \tau(s(\tau(v)))$, for all $s \in SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then one obtains an explicit construction of $\hat{P}$ as follows. Consider the Levi decomposition of P as the semidirect product $P = L \rtimes U$, where L denotes its Levi part and U the unipotent radical. If $P^- = L \rtimes U^-$ denotes the opposite parabolic subgroup to P, namely the block lower triangular matrix with blocks of size $f_1, \dots f_u$, then $\hat{P} = P \cap \tau(P^-)$. Furthermore, the parabolic subgroup $\tau(P^-)$ has dimension sequence $(f_u, \dots, f_1)$. These results are proved in complete generality in [Wol3].

Because π is a K_0 equivariant map, the restriction of π to $\hat{C}_0$ maps $\hat{C}_0$ onto C_0 with fiber $\hat{C}_0 \cap (\hat{F} \cap \hat{D})$. In this case this fiber is a compact analytic subset of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and consequently it is finite, i.e. the projection $\pi|_{\hat{C}_0} : \hat{C}_0 \to C_0$ is a finite covering map. Because C_0 is simply-connected it follows that:

Proposition 16. *The restriction map*

$$\pi|_{\hat{C}_0} : \hat{C}_0 \to C_0$$

is biholomorphic. In particular, if q and $\hat{q}$ denote the respective codimensions of the cycles, it follows that $\hat{q} = dim(\hat{F}) + q$

If we denote with $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ the set of Schubert varieties S_w in Z that intersect the base cycle C_0 and $\dim S_w + \dim C_0 = \dim Z$, where w is a minimal representative of the parametrization coset of S_w and by $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ the analogous set in $\hat{Z}$, then the above discussion implies the following:

Proposition 17. *The map $\Phi : S_{C_0} \to \pi^{-1}(S_{C_0}) \subset S_{\hat{C}_0}$ is bijective.*

If $d = (d_1, \dots, d_s, d_s, \dots, d_1)$ or $e = (d_1, \dots, d_s, e', d_s, \dots, d_1)$ is a symmetric dimension sequence, then one can construct another dimension sequence out of it, not necessarily symmetric, by the following method. Consider an arbitrary sequence $t = (t_1, \dots, t_p)$ such that each $t_i \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, at least one t_i is strictly bigger than 1 and $t_1 + \cdots + t_p = 2s$ or $2s+1$ depending on wether one considers d or e, respectively. Associated to t the sequence $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_p)$ is defined by $\delta_j := \sum_{i=1}^{j} t_i$. With the use of δ the new dimension sequence $f_\delta = (f_{\delta_1}, \dots, f_{\delta_p})$ is defined by $f_{\delta_1} := \sum_{i=1}^{\delta_1} d_i$,

$$f_{\delta_j} := \sum_{i=\delta_{j-1}+1}^{\delta_j} d_i, \text{ for all } 2 \leq j \leq p.$$

Because $\hat{P}$ is obtained as the intersection of two parabolic subgroups P and $\tau(P^-)$, it follows that the dimension sequence f of P is obtained as above, from the dimension sequence of $\hat{P}$, as f_δ for a unique choice of t. For ease of computation we do not break up anymore the dimension sequence of $\hat{P}$ into its symmetric parts and we simply write it as $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s)$, where s can be both even or odd. Using the usual method of computing the dimension of Z it then follows that

$$\dim Z = \dim \hat{Z} - \sum_{t_j > 1} \sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g.$$

For example if P corresponds to the dimension sequence $(2, 4, 3)$, then an easy computation with matrices shows that $\hat{P}$ corresponds to the dimension sequence $(2, 1, 3, 1, 2)$, $t = (1, 2, 2)$ and $\delta = (1, 3, 5)$. Moreover, $\dim Z = \dim \hat{Z} - 1 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 2$.

Given the sequence $f = f_\delta$, we are now interested in describing the set $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$. Let $S_{\hat{w}}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ be the unique Schubert variety associated to a given $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ such that $\pi(S_{\hat{w}}) = S_w$. If $\hat{w}$ is given in block form by $B_1 \ldots B_s$, where here again the notation used does not take into consideration the symmetric structure of $\hat{w}$, then w is given in block form by $C_1 \ldots C_p$ corresponding to the dimension sequence f_δ. The blocks C_j are given by $C_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\delta_1} B_{d_i}$ and

$$C_j = \bigcup_{i=\delta_{j-1}+1}^{\delta_j} B_{d_i}, \text{ for all } 2 \leq j \leq p,$$

arranged in increasing order. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim S_w &= \dim Z - \dim C_0 = \dim Z - \dim \hat{C}_0 \\ &= \dim \hat{Z} - \sum_{t_j > 1} \sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g - \dim \hat{C}_0 \\ &= \dim S_{\hat{w}} - \sum_{t_j > 1} \sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, understanding what conditions $\hat{w}$ satisfies in order for the above equality to hold amounts to understanding the difference in length that the permutation $\hat{w}$ looses when it is transformed into w. If C_j contains only one B-block from $\hat{w}$, i.e. $t_j = 1$, then this is already ordered in increasing order and it does not contribute to the decrease in dimension. If C_j contains more B-blocks, say

$$C_j = \bigcup_{i=\delta_{j-1}+1}^{\delta_j} B_{d_i},$$

we start with the first block $B_{\delta_{j-1}+1}$ which is already in increasing order and bring the elements from $B_{\delta_{j-1}+2}$ to their correct spots inside the first block. As usual, to each number we can associate a distance, i.e. the number of elements it needs to cross in order to be brought to the correct spot, and we denote by $\alpha_{\delta_{j-1}+2}$ the sum of these distances. The maximum value that $\alpha_{\delta_{j-1}+2}$ can attain is that when all the elements in the second block are smaller than each element in the first block, i.e. the last element in the second block is smaller than the first element in the first block. In this case $\alpha_{\delta_{j-1}+2} = d_{\delta_{j-1}+1}d_{\delta_{j-1}+2}$, the product of the number of elements in the first block with the number of elements in the second block. Next we bring the elements in the 3^{rd} block among the already ordered elements from the first and second block. Observe that the maximal value that $\alpha_{\delta_{j-1}+3}$ can attain is $d_{\delta_{j-1}+1}d_{\delta_{j-1}+3} + d_{\delta_{j-1}+2}d_{\delta_{j-1}+3}$ when the last element in the 3^{rd} block is smaller than all elements in the first two blocks. In general we say that the group of blocks used to form C_j is in **strictly decreasing order** if it satisfies the following: the last element of block B_{i+1} is smaller than the first element of block B_i for all $\delta_{j-1} + 1 \leq i \leq \delta_j - 1$. Consequently, if one wants to order this sequence of blocks into increasing order one needs to cross over

$$\sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g$$

elements. Thus if all the blocks C_j with $t_j > 1$ among w come from groups of blocks arranged in strictly decreasing order in $\hat{w}$, then

$$|w| = |\hat{w}| - \sum_{t_j > 1} \sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g.$$

As a consequence we have the following observation:

Proposition 18. *A Schubert variety $S_{\hat{w}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ is mapped under the projection map to a Schubert variety $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ if and only if all the blocks C_j with $t_j > 1$ among w come from groups of blocks arranged in strictly decreasing order in $\hat{w}$.*

As an example consider the complex projective space $Z = \mathbb{P}_5$. The dimension sequence of the measurable model in this case is given by $d = (1, 4, 1)$ and the Schubert varieties in $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ are parametrized by the following permutations: $(2)(3456)(1)$, $(3)(1456)(2)$, $(4)(1256)(3)$, $(5)(1236)(4)$, $(6)(1234)(5)$. The only permutation that satisfies the strictly decreasing order among the last two blocks is the permutation $(2)(3456)(1)$ and this gives the only Schubert variety in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ parametrized by $(2)(13456)$. More generally, for $Z = \mathbb{P}_n$ we have only one element in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ parametrized by $(2)(13 \ldots n+1)$.

For a slightly more complicated example let P be given by the dimension sequence $(3, 3, 2)$. Then the dimension sequence for the measurable model is given

Table 2.1: The elements $w \in \Sigma_8$ which satisfy the generalised double box contraction condition for the dimension sequence (2,1,2,1,2).

(24)	(6)	(78)	(5)	(13)
(24)	(7)	(58)	(6)	(13)
(24)	(8)	(56)	(7)	(13)
(25)	(6)	(78)	(3)	(14)
(25)	(7)	(38)	(6)	(14)
(25)	(8)	(36)	(7)	(14)
(26)	(4)	(78)	(3)	(15)
(26)	(7)	(38)	(4)	(15)
(26)	(8)	(34)	(7)	(15)
(27)	(4)	(58)	(3)	(16)
(27)	(5)	(38)	(4)	(16)
(27)	(8)	(34)	(5)	(16)
(28)	(4)	(56)	(3)	(17)
(28)	(5)	(36)	(4)	(17)
(28)	(6)	(34)	(5)	(17)
(35)	(6)	(78)	(1)	(24)
(35)	(7)	(18)	(6)	(24)
(35)	(8)	(21)	(7)	(24)
(36)	(4)	(78)	(1)	(25)
(36)	(7)	(18)	(4)	(25)
(36)	(8)	(14)	(7)	(25)
(37)	(4)	(58)	(1)	(26)
(37)	(5)	(18)	(4)	(26)
(37)	(8)	(14)	(5)	(26)
(38)	(4)	(56)	(1)	(27)
(38)	(5)	(16)	(4)	(27)
(38)	(6)	(14)	(5)	(27)
(57)	(2)	(38)	(1)	(46)
(57)	(3)	(18)	(2)	(46)
(57)	(8)	(12)	(3)	(46)
(58)	(2)	(36)	(1)	(47)
(58)	(3)	(16)	(2)	(47)
(58)	(6)	(12)	(3)	(47)
(68)	(2)	(34)	(1)	(57)
(68)	(3)	(14)	(2)	(57)
(68)	(4)	(12)	(3)	(57)

by $(2, 1, 2, 1, 2)$. Table 2.1 gives a list of the 36 Weyl group elements that parametrize the Schubert varieties in $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$. Only 3 elements satisfy the strictly decreasing order for the first two blocks and the third and forth block, namely $(57)(2)(38)(1)(46)$, $(58)(2)(36)(1)(47)$, $(68)(2)(34)(1)(57)$. The 3 Schubert varieties in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ are thus parametrized by $(257)(138)(46)$, $(258)(136)(47)$ and $(268)(134)(57)$.

Chapter 3

The case of the real form $SL(m, \mathbb{H})$

3.1 Preliminaries

Let us consider $\mathbb{C}^n$ equipped with the quaternion structure $\mathbf{j} : v \mapsto J\bar{v}$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ -I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $G_0 = SL(m, \mathbb{H}) := \{X \in SL(2m, \mathbb{C}) : \mathbf{j}X = X\mathbf{j}\}$, n=2m, is the group of complex linear transformations of determinant 1 that commute with $\mathbf{j}$. Equivalently, G_0 is the real form of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ associated with the real structure $\tau : SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ given by $\tau(X) = \mathbf{j}X\mathbf{j}^{-1}$. If $\theta : SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the Cartan involution defined by $\theta(X) = (\overline{X}^t)^{-1}$ with fixed point set $SU(2m)$, then θ commutes with $\mathbf{j}$ and so it restricts to a Cartan involution on $SL(2m, H)$. Consequently, $K_0 = SU(2m) \cap SL(2m, \mathbb{H}) = Sp(m)$ is the unitary symplectic group and its complexification K is the complex symplectic group $Sp(m, \mathbb{C})$.

In this case G_0 has only one open orbit in $Z = G/P$, where P corresponds as usual to a dimension sequence $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s)$. The unique open orbit is described in terms of flags as the set of $\mathbf{j}-$*generic* flags $(0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s = \mathbb{C}^n)$, i.e. those flags that satisfy $\dim(V_i \cap \mathbf{j}(V_j))$ is minimal, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq s$. When $P = B$, the condition of $\mathbf{j}-$genericity is equivalent to $\mathbf{j}(V_i) \oplus V_{2m-i} = \mathbb{C}^n$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

In the case of $Z = G/B$ the base cycle C_0 is the compact complex submanifold of D represented in the form

$$C_0 = K.z_0 \cong K/(K \cap B_{z_0}),$$

for a base point z_0 in D. From basic computations with roots it follows that $dim\, C_0 = m^2$. Thus the dimension of the corresponding Schubert varieties of interest is $m^2 - m$. In general, consider ω to be the standard symplectic structure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by $\omega(v, w) = v^t Jw$. The base cycle C_0 is the set of $\omega-$ isotropic

flags, namely $V : 0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s = \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $dim\,(V_i \cap V_j^{\perp})$ is maximal, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq s$, where $\perp$ is considered with respect to ω.

The above results can be found in [HW]. The same paper contains the description of the Iwasawa Borel subgroup B_I as the isotropy subgroup that fixes the flag:

$$< e_1 > \subset < e_1, j(e_1) > \subset < e_1, j(e_1), e_2 > \subset < e_1, j(e_1), e_2, j(e_2) > \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $j(e_i) = -e_{m+i}$, $\forall\, 1 \leq i \leq m$.

Note that the subgroup of diagonal matrices in G is a torus for both the standard basis and for the basis used to describe the Iwasawa-Borel subgroup. Moreover, recall that once an ordered basis is fixed, then one obtains an isomorphism of the Weyl group of G with respect to T and the symmetric group Σ_n.

We use the basis associated to the Iwasawa-Borel subgroup B_I to define this isomorphism and consider the canonical form of an Iwasawa-Schubert cell in this basis as defined in the preliminaries of the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$-case.

3.2 Introduction to the combinatorics

The next two sections give a full description of the B_I-Schubert varieties S of complementary dimension to C_0 with $\mathcal{S}_{C_0} \neq \emptyset$, the points of intersection and their number, in the case of the open $SL(m, H)$-orbit D in Z. The first case to be considered is the case of $Z = G/B$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_{C_0} := \{S_w \text{ Schubert variety } : dimS_w + dimC_0 = dimZ \text{ and } S_w \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset\}.$$

In what follows we describe the conditions that the element w of the Weyl group that parametrizes the Schubert variety S_w must satisfy in order for S_w to be in S_{C_0}. For convenience of the reader we recall the background results as stated in the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$-case. One of the main ingredients for this is the fact that $S_w \cap D \subset \mathcal{O}_w$ and the fact that if $S_w \cap D \neq \emptyset$, then $S_w \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, no Schubert variety of dimension less than the codimension of the base cycle intersects the base cycle. These are general results that can be found in [FHW, p.101-104]

Definition 19. *A permutation $w = k_1 \ldots k_m l_m \ldots l_1 \in \Sigma_n$ is said to satisfy the spacing condition if $l_i < k_i$ or k_i is an odd number and $l_i = k_i + 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Note that the spacing condition in this case is actually the spacing condition for the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$-case together with one degree of freedom, namely that it is allowed that $l_i = k_i + 1$ for k_i odd.

Definition 20. *A permutation $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_1 \in \Sigma_n$ is said to satisfy the strictly pairing condition if k_i is an odd number, l_i is even and $l_i = k_i + 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Here as well, the strictly pairing condition implies the spacing condition but not conversely. For example, 315624 satisfies both conditions while 325614 does not satisfy the strictly pairing condition. Observe that here, in contrast with the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$-case, the condition is much stronger than the double box contraction condition. It is not enough that the pairs (k_i, l_i) are in consecutive order in the set $\{1, \dots, n\} - \{k_1, l_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, l_{i-1}\}$ but here they are actually consecutive numbers.

3.3 Main results for $Z = G/B$

The first result gives the condition for a Schubert variety to intersect the base cycle, independent of its dimension.

Proposition 21. *A Schubert variety S_w corresponding to a permutation*

$$w = k_1 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_1$$

has nonempty intersection with C_0 if and only if w satisfies the spacing condition.

Proof. Similar to the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ case, the key fact in this proof is that if a Schubert variety intersects the open orbit D, then it also intersects the base cycle C_0. Moreover, $S_w \cap D \subset \mathcal{O}_w$. The arguments bellow provide a proof of the fact that $\mathcal{O}_w$ (the canonical matrix form in the basis that defined the Iwaswa-Borel subgroup) contains a $\mathbf{j}$-generic point if and only if w satisfies the spacing condition.

First assume that w satisfies the spacing condition. Under this assumption we need to prove that

$$\mathbf{j}(V_i) \oplus V_{2m-i} = \mathbb{C}^n \quad \forall i \leq m,$$

where V is an arbitrary flag in $\mathcal{O}_w$. This is equivalent to showing that the matrix formed from the vectors generating $\mathbf{j}(V_i)$ and V_{2m-i} has maximal rank . Form the following pairs of vectors $(v_i, \mathbf{j}(v_i))$ and the matrices

$$[v_1 \mathbf{j}(v_1) \dots v_i \mathbf{j}(v_i) v_{i+1} \dots v_{2m-i}], \quad \forall i \leq m.$$

These are the matrices corresponding to

$$\mathbf{j}(V_i) =< \mathbf{j}(v_1), \dots, \mathbf{j}(v_i) >$$

and

$$V_{2m-i} =< v_1, \ldots, v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots v_{2m-i} > .$$

We carry out the following set of operations keeping in mind that the rank of a matrix is not changed by row or column operations. The initial matrix is the canonical matrix representation of the Schubert cell $\mathcal{O}_w$. For the step $j = 1$, $l_1 = k_1 + 1$ with k_1 odd or $l_1 = k_1 - 1$ with k_1 even and the last column corresponding to l_1 is eliminated from the initial matrix.

Next denote by c_h the h^{th} column of this matrices and obtain the following:
If k_1 is odd, then v_1 is a vector of the form

$$v_1 = \alpha_1^1 e_1 + \alpha_2^1 \mathbf{j}(e_1) + \cdots + \alpha_{k_1-1}^1 \mathbf{j}(e_{(k_1-1)/2}) + e_{(k_1+1)/2},$$

and

$$\mathbf{j}(v_1) = (\bar{\alpha}_2^1)e_1 + (\bar{\alpha}_1^1)\mathbf{j}(e_1) + \cdots + (\bar{\alpha}_{k_1-2}^1)\mathbf{j}(e_{(k_1-1)/2}) + \mathbf{j}(e_{(k_1+1)/2}).$$

If $l_1 = k_1 + 1$, then it follows that c_2 has a leading coefficient 1 on row l_1. Because the last column was removed from the initial matrix representation of $\mathcal{O}_w$ this is the only column in the new matrix that has its leading coefficient on row l_1. It remains to create a zero on c_2 on row k_1 and zeros on c_j, for each $j > 2$, on row l_1. For the first point subtract from c_2 a suitable multiple of c_1 and leave the result on c_2. For the second point, it is enough to consider those columns which have 1's on rows greater than l_1, because the columns with 1's on rows smaller than l_1 already have zeros bellow them. Finally subtract from these columns suitable multiplies of c_2. We thus create a matrix that represents points in the Schubert variety $k_1 l_1 k_2 \ldots k_m l_m \ldots l_2$. This clearly has maximal rank.

If $l_1 < k_1$, then in the second column c_2 zeros are created in all rows starting with k_1 and going down to row $l_1 + 1$. This is done by subtracting suitable multiplies of c_2 from the columns in the matrix having a 1 in these rows and putting the result in c_2. For example, to create a zero in the spot corresponding to k_1 the second column is subtracted from the first column and the result is left in the second column. in row l_1 a 1 is created by normalizing. This 1 is the only 1 in row l_1, because the last column that contained a 1 in row l_1 was removed from the matrix. We now want to create zeros in c_j for $j > 2$ in row l_1. This is done as in the case when $l_1 = k_1 + 1$. We thus create a matrix that represents points in the Schubert variety $k_1 l_1 k_2 \ldots k_m l_m \ldots l_2$. This clearly has maximal rank.

If k_1 is even, then v_1 is a vector of the form

$$v_1 = \alpha_1^1 e_1 + \alpha_2^1 \mathbf{j}(e_1) + \cdots + \alpha_{k_1-1}^1 e_{k_1/2} + \mathbf{j}(e_{k_1/2}),$$

and

$$\mathbf{j}(v_1) = (\bar{\alpha}_2^1)e_1 + (\bar{\alpha}_1^1)\mathbf{j}(e_1) + \cdots + e_{k_1/2} + (\bar{\alpha}_{k_1-1}^1)\mathbf{j}(e_{k_1/2}).$$

First create a zero in c_2 in row k_1 by subtracting a suitable multiple of c_1 from c_2 and leaving the result in c_2. Then follow the same kind of elementary transformations as in the case when k_1 is odd and $l_1 < k_1$ to create a matrix that represents points in the Schubert variety $k_1 l_1 k_2 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_2$.

Assume by induction that we have created a maximal rank matrix corresponding to points in the Schubert variety $k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_j l_j k_{j+1} \dots k_m l_m \dots l_{j+1}$. To go to the step $j+1$, remove from the matrix the last column corresponding to l_{j+1} and in between add c_{2j+1} and c_{2j+2} the conjugate of c_{2j+1} and reindex the columns.

If k_{j+1} is odd, then v_{j+1}, the vector in column c_{2j+1} is a vector of the form

$$v_1 = \alpha_1^{j+1}e_1 + \alpha_2^{j+1}\mathbf{j}(e_1) + \cdots + \alpha_{k_{j+1}-1}^{j+1}\mathbf{j}(e_{(k_{j+1}-1)/2}) + e_{(k_{j+1}+1)/2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{j}(v_1) = (\bar{\alpha}_2^{j+1})e_1 + (\bar{\alpha}_1^{j+1})\mathbf{j}(e_1) + \cdots + (\bar{\alpha}_{k_{j+1}-2}^{j+1})\mathbf{j}(e_{(k_{j+1}-1)/2}) + \mathbf{j}(e_{(k_{j+1}+1)/2}).$$

Thus, if $l_{j+1} = k_{j+1}$, the column c_{2j+2} has the leading coefficient 1 in row l_{j+1}. Because the column corresponding to l_{j+1} was removed from the matrix associated to

$$k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_j l_j k_{j+1} \dots k_m l_m \dots l_{j+1},$$

c_{2j+1} is the only column with leading coefficient in row l_{j+1}. To create a zero in column c_{2j+2} in row k_{j+1}, subtract a suitable multiple of column c_{2j+1} from column c_{2j+2} and leave the result in c_{2j+2}. Perform the same kind of elementary transformations as in the case of $j = 1$ to create zeros in each column c_p, for all $p > 2j+2$, in row l_{j+1}. We thus create a matrix that represents points in the Schubert variety $k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_j l_j k_{j+1} l_{j+1} k_{j+2} \dots k_m l_m \dots l_{j+2}$.

If k_{j+1} is even, then v_{j+1}, the vector in column c_{2j+1} is a vector of the form

$$v_{j+1} = \alpha_1^{j+1}e_1 + \alpha_2^{j+1}\mathbf{j}(e_1) + \cdots + \alpha_{k_{j+1}-1}^{j+1}e_{k_{j+1}/2} + \mathbf{j}(e_{k_{j+1}/2})$$

and

$$\mathbf{j}(v_{j+1}) = (\bar{\alpha}_2^{j+1})e_1 + (\bar{\alpha}_1^{j+1})\mathbf{j}(e_1) + \cdots + e_{k_{j+1}/2} + (\bar{\alpha}_{k_{j+1}-1}^{j+1})\mathbf{j}(e_{(k_{j+1})/2}).$$

If $l_{j+1} < k_{j+1}$, independent of the parity of k_{j+1}, the same kind of elementary transformations as in the corresponding proof for the $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ case are performed. in column $c_{2(j+1)}$ zeros are created in all rows starting with k_{j+1} and going

down to $l_{j+1} + 1$ by subtracting suitable multiplies of $c_{2(j+1)}$ from the columns in the matrix having a 1 in this rows and putting the result in $c_{2(j+1)}$. Next, a 1 is created in row $l_{2(j+1)}$ by normalisation. Again this is the only spot in row $l_{2(j+1)}$ with value 1, because the column which had a 1 in this spot was removed from the matrix. By subtracting suitable multiplies of $c_{2(j+1)}$ from columns having a 1 in spots greater than $l_{(j+1)}$, we create zeros in row l_{j+1} at the right of the 1 in column $c_{2(i+1)}$. We thus obtain points in the maximal rank matrix of the Schubert variety indexed by $k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_j l_j k_{j+1} l_{j+1} \dots k_m l_m \dots l_{j+2}$.

At step $j = m$ we obtain points in the maximal rank matrix of the Schubert variety indexed by $k_1 l_1 k_2 l_2 \dots k_m l_m$.

Conversely, if the spacing condition is not satisfied, let i be the smallest such that $k_i < l_i$ and k_i is not odd with $l_i = k_i + 1$. Then look at the matrix $\mathbf{j}(V_i) \oplus V_{2m-i}$. Then use the same reasoning as above to create a 1 in the spot in the matrix corresponding to row l_j and column $2j$ for all $j < i$ using our chose of i. Now there is a 1 in each row in the matrix except in row l_i. Column c_{2i} and c_{2i-1} both have a 1 in position k_i or $k_i + 1$, depending on the parity of k_i, and zeros bellow. Since $l_i > k_i$ this implies that for each $j < i$ there exists a column in the matrix that has 1 in row j. Using these 1's we begin subtracting c_{2i} from suitable multiplies of each such column, starting with c_{2i-1} for k_i even and the column corresponding to $k_i + 1$ for k_i odd, then going to the one that has 1 in the spot $k_i - 1$, then to the one the has 1 in the spot $k_i - 2$ and so on. At each step the result is inserted in c_{2i}. This creates zeros in all the column c_{2i} and proves that the matrix does not have maximal rank. □

Next we impose the additional condition on the Schubert varieties which intersect the base cycle C_0 that there dimension is equal to the codimension of the base cycle.

Lemma 22. *If $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_* l_m \dots l_1$ satisfies the spacing condition and $|w| = m^2 - m$, then k_1 is odd and $l_1 = k_1 + 1$.*

Proof. If k_1 is odd and $l_1 < k_1$, construct a permutation $\tilde{w}$ out of w as follows. The number $k_1 + 1$ sits in the permutation w on one of the positions from 2 to $n - 1$. If $k_1 + 1$ sits on a position greater than m, perform the transposition that exchanges l_1 and $k_1 + 1$. In this case $|\tilde{w}| < |w|$, because of the following two reasons. Firstly, the number l_1 does not need to cross over the number $k_1 + 1$, as in w. Secondly, because $l_1 < k_1 + 1$, the number $k_1 + 1$ needs to cross over at most the number of numbers that l_1 was crossing over, to be brought in its initial position in w. Moreover, $\tilde{w}$ satisfies the spacing condition which is contrary to the fact that no Schubert variety of dimension less than the codimension of the base



Figure 3.1: Strictly pairing condition example.

cycle intersects the base cycle.

If k_1 is odd and the number $k_1 + 1$ sits on a position p smaller than m, then do the transposition that exchanges k_1 and $k_1 + 1$. If k_1 is even and $l_1 = k_1 - 1$, then do the transposition that exchanges k_1 and l_1. If l_1 is smaller than $k_1 - 1$, then do the transposition that exchanges k_1 and $k_1 - 1$.

By the same reason used in the first case, in all the other cases $|\tilde{w}| < |w|$ and $\tilde{w}$ satisfies the spacing condition. This implies a contradiction. □

Theorem 23. *A Schubert variety S_w belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ if and only if w satisfies the strictly pairing condition. In particular, in this case w satisfies the spacing condition and $|w| = m^2 - m$.*

Proof. As in the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ case the theorem is proved by induction on dimension. From the previous lemma k_1 must be odd and l_1 must equal $k_1 + 1$. Remove from

Table 3.1: The elements $w \in \Sigma_8$ which satisfy the strictly pairing condition

1	3	5	7	8	6	4	2
1	3	7	5	6	8	4	2
1	5	3	7	8	4	6	2
1	5	7	3	4	8	6	2
1	7	3	5	6	4	8	2
1	7	5	3	4	6	8	2

I.

3	1	5	7	8	6	2	4
3	1	7	5	6	8	2	4
3	5	1	7	8	2	6	4
3	5	7	1	2	8	6	4
3	7	1	5	6	2	8	4
3	7	5	1	2	6	8	4

II.

5	1	3	7	8	4	2	6
5	1	7	3	4	8	2	6
5	3	1	7	8	2	4	6
5	3	7	1	2	8	4	6
5	7	1	3	4	2	8	6
5	7	3	1	2	4	8	6

III.

7	1	3	5	6	4	2	8
7	1	5	3	4	6	2	8
7	3	1	5	6	2	4	8
7	3	5	1	2	6	4	8
7	5	1	3	4	2	6	8
7	5	3	1	2	4	6	8

IV.

the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ the elements k_1 and k_1+1 to form a set Σ with $n-2$ elements. Define a bijective map $\Phi : \Sigma \to \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\}$ by $\Phi(x) = x$ if $x < k_1$ and $\Phi(x) = x - 2$ if $x > k_1 + 1$. By induction all possible $\tilde{w} \in \Sigma_{n-2}$ that satisfy the strictly pairing condition and parametrize a Schubert variety in $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{C}_0}$ are obtained.

Now return to the original situation by defining for each $\tilde{w}$ a corresponding $w \in \Sigma_n$ with $w(1) = k_1$, $w(i+1) = \Phi^{-1}(\tilde{w}(i))$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n-2$, $w(n) = k_1 + 1$.

In order to compute the dimension of w from the dimension of $\tilde{w}$, let $\tilde{p}_j$ be the distances for the elements of $\tilde{w}$. First consider those elements ϵ of the full sequence w which are smaller than k_1. In order to move them to their appropriate position one needs the number of steps $\tilde{p}_\epsilon$ to do the same for their associated point in $\tilde{w}$, plus 1 for having to pass k_1. Thus in order to compute the length of w from the length of $\tilde{w}$, $k_1 - 1$ is first added to the former. Having done the above $k_1 + 1$ is moved to its place, directly at the right of k_1. This requires crossing $2m - k_1 - 1$ numbers. So together $2m$ was added to $(m-1)^2 - (m-1)$, to obtain a total of m^2+m. Thus if w satisfies the strictly pairing condition it has the correct minimal length to parametrize a Schubert variety in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$. □

Figure 3.1 is an example of how the algorithm for building an element $w \in \Sigma_8$

which satisfies the strictly pairing condition works. Table 3.1 gives all the Weyl group elements in Σ_8 which satisfy the strictly pairing condition and thus all the Iwaswa-Schubert varieties in this case.

The next corollary is an immediate consequence of the previous theorem.

Corollary 24. *There exists a bijection in between Σ_m and $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ that sends each element $s = s_1 \dots s_m \in \Sigma_m$ to an element $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_1 \in \Sigma_{2m}$ that parametrizes a Schubert variety in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$. The element w is obtained from s by $k_i = 2s_i - 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $l_i = k_i + 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Conversely, each $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_1$ that parametrizes an element of $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ gives the unique element of Σ_m defined by $(k_i + 1)/2$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus, the cardinality of $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ is $m!$.*

The next theorem gives a geometric description in terms of flags of the intersection point.

Theorem 25. *A Schubert variety S_w in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ intersects the base cycle C_0 in precisely one point. If $s = s_1 \dots s_m \in \Sigma_m$ corresponds to w, then the intersection point is the flag associated to the basis*

$$(e_{s_1}, e_{s_2}, \dots e_{s_m}, \mathbf{j}(e_{s_m}), \dots, \mathbf{j}(e_{s_1}))$$

of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof. For $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_1$, let $s = s_1 \dots s_m$ the associated element in Σ_m. Conside $V := (0 \subset < v_1 > \subset \cdots \subset < v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} > \subset < v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n >)$ an arbitrary point in $\mathcal{O}_w$, where

$$v_i = \alpha_1^i e_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{s_i-1}^i e_{s_i-1} - \beta_1^i e_{m+1} - \cdots - \beta_{s_i-1}^i e_{m+s_i-1} + e_{s_i}, \, \forall \, 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

It is convenient to look at this point as a matrix $[v_1, \dots, v_n]$ with each of its columns having a leading coefficient 1 or -1. Observe that multiplying v_i with the matrix J from the right gives

$$v_j J = \beta_1^i e_1 + \cdots + \beta_{s_i-1}^i e_{s_i-1} + \alpha_1^i e_{m+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{s_i-1}^i e_{m+s_i-1} + e_{m+s_i},$$

and $\omega(v_i, v_k)$ is given by the standard inner product of the vectors $v_i J$ and v_k. The $\omega-$isotropic condition on flags means that the matrix $[v_1 \dots v_{n-i}]$ has the column vector $v_i J$ perpendicular to itself and all the other vectors in the matrix, for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Observe that the vectors that are excluded to obtain this matrices are only vectors with leading coefficient "-1". Start with the initial matrix and for the first step $i = 1$ disregard the last column of the initial matrix, for the second step $i = 2$ disregard the last and pre last column and so on until step $i = m$ is reached.

Looking at this process closely and imposing the ω-isotropic conditions gives the explicit description of the intersection point.

For the step $j = 1$ disregard from the matrix the vector v_n which contains a "-1" on row $m + s_1$. If $s_1 = 1$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, disregarding v_n creates a matrix that has among its columns all vectors that contain a leading "1" or a leading "-1" respectively on the spot p, where $1 \leq p \leq s_1 - 1$ and $m + 1 \leq p \leq m + s_1 - 1$, respectively. Denote such column vectors with f_p and observe that if $m + 1 \leq p \leq m + s_1 - 1$, then the first m rows of f_p are all zero. Using the relations

$$v_1 J \cdot f_1 = 0, \ldots, v_1 J \cdot f_{s_i - 1} = 0, v_1 J \cdot f_{m+1} = 0, \ldots, v_1 J \cdot f_{m+s_i-1} = 0$$

and computing step by step it follows that $\beta_i^1 = 0$, and then $\alpha_i^1 = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s_i - 1$.

The condition $v_1 J \cdot v_p = 0, \forall\, 2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ is equivalent with $\beta_{s_1}^p = 0, \forall\, 2 \leq p \leq n - 1$, because $v_1 J$ is now a vector consisting of a 1 on position s_1 and zeros elsewhere. This shows in particular that $v_{n-1} = j(e_{s_2})$.

For $j = 2$, v_n and v_{n-1} are removed from the initial matrix. This creates a matrix that has among its columns all vectors that contain a leading 1 or a leading -1 respectively on spots p, with $1 \leq p \leq s_2 - 1$ and $m \leq p \leq m + s_2 - 1$, respectively, with the possible exception of $p = s_1 + m$, when $s_1 < s_2$. This exception is however harmless, because $\alpha_{s_1}^2 = 0$ by definition, since it sits at the left of the leading 1 on column v_1.

Following the same algorithm as before, zeros are created step by step starting with $v_2 J \cdot f_1 = 0$ and going further to $v_2 J \cdot f_p = 0$, where f_p is a column vector where a 1 sits on row p for all $1 \leq i \leq s_2 - 1$. This gives us $\beta_i^2 = 0, \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq s_2 - 1$. To obtain $\alpha_i^2 = 0, \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq s_2 - 1$ the equations $v_2 J \cdot f_p = 0, \forall\, m + 1 \leq p \leq m + s_2 - 1$ are used, with a possible jump at $p = m + s_1$, where $\alpha_{s_1}^2 = 0$ by default. The condition $v_2 J \cdot v_p = 0, \forall\, 3 \leq i \leq n - 1$ is equivalent to $\beta_{s_2}^p = 0, \forall\, 3 \leq p \leq n - 2$, because $v_2 J$ is now a vector consisting of a 1 on position s_2 and zeros elsewhere.

Assume that we have shown that $v_i = e_{s_i}\, \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq j - 1 < m$ and

$$\beta_{s_i}^p = 0, \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq j - 1, \forall i + 1 \leq p \leq n - i.$$

To go to step j use the fact that $l_j = k_j + 1$. In this case the columns v_p for $n - j + 1 \leq p \leq n$ are removed from the initial matrix. This creates a matrix that has among its columns all vectors that have a leading 1 on spots p for all

$1 \leq p \leq s_j - 1$ and all vectors that have a leading -1 on spots p for all $m+1 \leq p \leq m + s_j - 1$, with the possible exception of the spots $m + s_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j - 1$. As before these exceptions are harmless because $\alpha^j_{s_i} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j - 1$. Zeros are created step by step starting with $v_j J \cdot f_1$ and going up to $v_j J \cdot f_{s_j - 1}$ to obtain $\beta^j_i = 0$, $\forall\, 1 \leq i \leq s_j - 1$. To obtain $\alpha^j_i = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s_j - 1$, the equations $v_j J \cdot f_p = 0$, for all $m + 1 \leq p \leq s_j - 1$, are used together with the possible exceptions mentioned above. □

It thus follows that the homology class $[C_0]$ of the base cycle inside the homology ring of Z is given in terms of the Schubert classes of elements in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ by:

$$[C_0] = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}} [S].$$

3.4 Results in the measurable case

As in the case of an open $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$-orbit, the open $SL(m, \mathbb{H})$-orbit D in $Z = G/P$ is *measurable* if P is defined by a symmetric dimension sequence as follows:

- $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ or $e = (d_1 \ldots, d_s, e', d_s \ldots d_1)$, for $n = 2m$,
- $e = (d_1 \ldots, d_s, e', d_s \ldots d_1)$, for $n = 2m + 1$.

Recall the notation

$$\mathcal{S}_{C_0} := \{S_w \text{ Schubert variety } : dim S_w + dim C_0 = dim Z \text{ and } S_w \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The main idea in the measurable case is to lift Schubert varieties $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ to minimal dimensional Schubert varieties $S_{\hat{w}}$ in $\hat{Z} := G/B$ that intersect the open orbit $\hat{D}$ and consequently the base cycle $\hat{C}_0$. As in the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ case the Weyl group element w that parametrizes a Schubert variety S_w is divided into **symmetric block pairs** $(B_i, \tilde{B}_j)$ corresponding to the symmetric dimension sequence defining the parabolic P.

Definition 26. *A permutation w is said to satisfy the **generalized spacing condition**, if for each symmetric block pair $(B_j, \tilde{B}_j)$ the elements of $\tilde{B}_j$ can be arranged in such a way that if the elements of B_j are denoted by $k^j_1 \ldots k^j_{d_j}$ and the rearranged elements of $\tilde{B}_j$ by $l^j_1 \ldots l^j_{d_j}$, then either $l^j_i < k^j_i$ or k^j_i is odd and $l^j_i = k^j_i + 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq d_j$.*

Observe that in the case of the symmetric dimension sequence e we can always rearrange the elements in the single block $B_{e'}$ so that they satisfy the spacing condition inside the block.

Definition 27. *A permutation w is said to satisfy the **generalized strictly pairing condition** if for each symmetric block pair $(B_j, \tilde{B}_j)$, if we denote the elements of B_j by $k_1^j \dots k_{d_j}^j$ and the elements of $\tilde{B}_j$ by $l_1^j \dots l_{d_j}^j$, read from left to write, then k_i^j is odd, l_i^j is even and $l_i^j = k_i^j + 1$, $\forall\, 1 \leq i \leq d_j$.*

If w satisfies the generalized spacing condition, $\tilde{w}$ denotes the permutation obtain from w by replacing each block $\tilde{B}_j = l_1^j \dots l_{d_j}^j$ with a choice of rearrangement of its elements $\tilde{l}_1^j \dots \tilde{l}_{d_j}^j$ required so that w satisfies the generalized spacing condition. Further inside each rearranged block $\tilde{B}_j$ in $\tilde{w}$, $\tilde{l}_1^j \dots \tilde{l}_{d_j}^j$ is rewritten as $\tilde{l}_{d_j}^j \tilde{l}_{d_j-1}^j \dots \tilde{l}_1^j$. In the case of $B_{e'}$ being part of the representation of w the following rearrangement is chosen : if e' is even then $B_{e'}$ is rearranged as $l'_{e'/2+1}$ $l'_{e'/2+2} \dots l'_{e'} l'_1 \dots l'_{e'/2-1} l'_{e'/2}$ and if e' is odd then $B_{e'}$ is rearranged as $l'_{(e'+1)/2+1}$ $l'_{(e'+1)/2+2} \dots l'_{e'} l'_1 \dots l'_{(e'+1)/2-1} l'_{(e'+1)/2}$. Observe that now $\tilde{w}$ is a permutation that satisfies the spacing condition for the G/B case and it is of course also just another representative of the coset that parametrizes S_w.

Proposition 28. *A Schubert variety S_w parametrized by the permutation*

$$w = B_1 B_2 \dots B_s B_e \tilde{B}_s \dots \tilde{B}_1$$

has nonempty intersection with the base cycle C_0 if and only if w satisfies the generalized spacing condition, i.e. if and only if there exist a lift of S_w to a Schubert variety $S_{\hat{w}}$ that intersects the base cycle in G/B.

Proof. If w satisfies the generalized spacing condition, then by the above observation one can find another representative of the parametrization coset of S_w, $\hat{w}$ that satisfies the spacing condition and thus a Schubert variety $S_{\hat{w}}$ that intersects $\hat{C}_0$. Because the projection map $\pi : G/B \to G/P$ is equivariant it follows that $\pi(S_{\hat{w}}) = S_w$ intersects C_0.

Conversely, suppose that $S_w \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$. Then for every point $p \in S_w \cap C_0$ there exist $\hat{p} \in S_{\hat{w}} \cap \hat{C}_0$ with $\pi(\hat{p}) = p$ and $\pi(S_{\hat{w}}) = S_w$, for some Schubert variety in G/B indexed by $\hat{w}$. It follows that $\hat{w}$ satisfies the spacing condition and w is obtained from $\hat{w}$ by dividing $\hat{w}$ into blocks $B_1 \dots B_s B_{e'} \tilde{B}_s \dots \tilde{B}_1$ and arranging the elements in each such block in increasing order. As a consequence this just shows that w satisfies the generalized spacing condition. □

If w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition, one can construct the permutation $\hat{w}$ by rearranging the elements inside each block $\tilde{B}_j$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, such that $l_1^j \dots l_{d_j}^j$ becomes $l_{d_j}^j \dots l_1^j$. In the case of $B_{e'}$ being part of the representation the following rearrangement is chosen: $k'_1 \dots k'_{e'/2-1} k'_{e'/2} \dots k'_{e'}$ becomes $k'_1 k'_3 \dots k'_{e'-1} k'_{e'} \dots k'_4 k'_2$. Note that $\hat{w}$ is a permutation that satisfies the strictly

pairing condition for the G/B case and it is of course just another representative of the parametrization coset of S_w. In parallel to the $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ case such a choice of rearrangement for w is called a **canonical rearrangement**. Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\hat{C}_0}$ the subset of Schubert varieties in $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ parametrized by such a $\hat{w}$.

Note that if $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ lifts to $S_{\hat{w}}$ such that $\hat{w}$ satisfies the strictly pairing condition, then $\dim S_{\hat{w}} - \dim S_w = (\dim \hat{Z} - \dim \hat{C}_0) - (\dim Z - \dim C_0) = (\dim \hat{Z} - \dim Z) - (\dim \hat{C}_0 - \dim C_0)$. Since π is a G_0 and K_0 equivariant map, if F denotes the fiber of π over a base point $z_0 \in C_0$, then the fiber of $\pi|_{\hat{C}_0} : \hat{C}_0 \to C_0$ over z_0 is just $F \cap \hat{C}_0$ and $\dim S_{\hat{w}} - \dim S_w$ must equal $\dim F - \dim(F \cap \hat{C}_0)$.

Similar to the $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ case, in the case of $Z = G/B$ the ω-isotropic condition on flags is equivalent to $V_i \subset V_i^{\perp}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $V_m = V_m^{\perp}$ and the flags V_{n-i} are determined by $V_{n-i} = V_i^{\perp}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus in the case of the dimension sequence $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$, $\dim F - \dim(F \cap C_0)$ is equal to $2\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i-1)/2 - \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i-1)/2$ which is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i-1)/2$. In the case when the dimension sequence is given by $e = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, e', d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ and the base point z_0 contains a middle flag of length e, it remains to add to the above number the difference in between the dimension of the total fiber over this flag and the dimension of the ω-isotropic flags in this fiber. This is just a special case of the G/B case for a full flag of length e' and the number is $e'(e'-1)/2 - (e'/2)^2$ which equals to $(e'/2)^2 - (e'/2)$.

By construction, if w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition, then $|w| = |\hat{w}| - \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i-1)/2$ in the case of the symmetric dimension sequence $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ and $|w| = |\hat{w}| - \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i(d_i-1)/2 - (e'/2)^2 + (e'/2)$ in the case of the dimension sequence $e = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, e', d_s, \ldots, d_1)$.

With the above preparations we are now in a position to prove the main results on lifting to $\hat{Z} = G/B$ in the measurable case.

Theorem 29. *A permutation $w = B_1 B_2 \ldots B_s B_{e'} \tilde{B}_s \ldots \tilde{B}_2 \tilde{B}_1$ satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition if and only if w parametrizes an Iwasawa-Schubert variety $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ and the lifting map $f : \mathcal{S}_{C_0} \to \mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ defined by $S_w \mapsto S_{\hat{w}}$, with $\hat{w}$ the canonical rearrangement of w, is injective.*

Proof. If w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition, then by the above observation the canonical rearrangement $\hat{w}$ of w is just another representative of the parametrization coset of S_w. Moreover, $\hat{w}$ satisfies the spacing condition and thus parametrizes a Schubert variety $S_{\hat{w}}$ that intersects $\hat{C}_0$. Because the projection map π is equivariant it follows that $\pi(S_{\tilde{w}}) = S_w$ intersects C_0. From the remarks

before the statement of the theorem it follows that if w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition, then the difference in dimensions in between $S_{\hat{w}}$ and S_w is such that the codimension of S_w is the same as the dimension of the base cycle.

Conversely, suppose that S_w has a lift to $S_{\hat{w}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$. It follows that $\hat{w}$ satisfies the strictly paring condition and w is obtained from $\hat{w}$ by dividing $\hat{w}$ into blocks $B_1 \dots B_s B_{e'} \tilde{B}_s \dots \tilde{B}_1$ and arranging the elements in each such block in increasing order. And this just shows that w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition.

□

Corollary 30. *There exist a bijection in between the subset A of Σ_m defined by elements that have inside each block of length d_i and $e'/2$ respectively consecutive increasing numbers, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and S_{C_0} that sends each element $s = s_1 \dots s_m \in \Sigma_m$ to an element $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_1 \in \Sigma_{2m}$ that parametrizes a Schubert variety in S_{C_0}. The element w is obtained from s by $k_i = 2s_i - 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $l_i = k_i + 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Conversely, each $w = k_1 \dots k_m l_m \dots l_1$ that parametrizes an element of S_{C_0} gives the unique element of A defined by $(k_i + 1)/2$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Theorem 31. *A Schubert variety S_w in S_{C_0} intersects the base cycle C_0 in precisely one point. If $s = s_1 \dots s_m$ is the corresponding element to w in Σ_m then the intersection point is the partial flag associated to the basis*

$$(e_{s_1}, e_{s_2}, \dots e_{s_m}, j(e_{s_m}), \dots, j(e_{s_1}))$$

of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

It thus follows that the homology class $[C_0]$ of the base cycle inside the homology ring of Z is given in terms of the Schubert classes of elements in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ by:

$$[C_0] = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}} [S].$$

3.5 Results in the non-measurable case

The case of $Z = G/P$ and $D \subset Z$ a non-measurable open orbit is completely analogous with the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$-case and the same result holds. Recall that associated to the flag domain D there exists its measurable model $\hat{D}$ in $\hat{Z} = G/\hat{P}$ together with the projection map $\pi : \hat{Z} \to Z$. If $P^- = L \rtimes U^-$ denotes the opposite parabolic subgroup to P, namely the block lower triangular matrix with blocks of size $f_1, \dots f_u$, then $\hat{P} = P \cap \tau(P^-)$. Furthermore, recall the following

two results:
• The restriction map

$$\pi|_{\hat{C}_0} : \hat{C}_0 \to C_0$$

is biholomorphic. In particular, if q and $\hat{q}$ denote the respective codimensions of the cycles, it follows that $\hat{q} = dim(\hat{F}) + q$

• The map $\Phi : S_{C_0} \to \pi^{-1}(S_{C_0}) \subset S_{\hat{C}_0}$ is bijective.

For convenience, the argument in the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ case is reproduced here. If $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ or $e = (d_1, \ldots, d_s, e', d_s, \ldots, d_1)$ is a symmetric dimension sequence, then one can construct another dimension sequence out of it, not necessarily symmetric, by the following method. Consider an arbitrary sequence $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_p)$ such that each $t_i \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, at least one t_i is strictly bigger than 1 and $t_1 + \cdots + t_p = 2s$ or $2s + 1$ depending on wether one considers d or e, respectively. Associated to t the sequence $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_p)$ is defined by $\delta_j := \sum_{i=1}^{j} t_i$. With the use of δ the new dimension sequence $f_\delta = (f_{\delta_1}, \ldots, f_{\delta_p})$ is defined by $f_{\delta_1} := \sum_{i=1}^{\delta_1} d_i$,

$$f_{\delta_j} := \sum_{i=\delta_{j-1}+1}^{\delta_j} d_i, \text{ for all } 2 \leq j \leq p.$$

Because $\hat{P}$ is obtained as the intersection of two parabolic subgroups P and $\sigma(P^-)$, it follows that the dimension sequence f of P is obtained as above, from the dimension sequence of $\hat{P}$, as f_δ for a unique choice of t. For ease of computation we do not break up anymore the dimension sequence of $\hat{P}$ into its symmetric parts and we simply write it as $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s)$, where s can be both even or odd. Using the usual method of computing the dimension of Z it then follows that

$$\dim Z = \dim \hat{Z} - \sum_{t_j > 1} \sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g.$$

For example if P corresponds to the dimension sequence $(2, 4, 3)$, then an easy computation with matrices shows that $\hat{P}$ corresponds to the dimension sequence $(2, 1, 3, 1, 2)$, $t = (1, 2, 2)$ and $\delta = (1, 3, 5)$. Moreover, $\dim Z = \dim \hat{Z} - 1 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 2$.

Given the sequence $f = f_\delta$, we are now interested in describing the set $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}$. Let $S_{\hat{w}}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ be the unique Schubert variety associated to a given $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ such that $\pi(S_{\hat{w}}) = S_w$. If $\hat{w}$ is given in block form by $B_1 \ldots B_s$, where here again the notation used does not take into consideration the symmetric structure of $\hat{w}$, then

w is given in block form by $C_1 \dots C_p$ corresponding to the dimension sequence f_δ. The blocks C_j are given by $C_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\delta_1} B_{d_i}$ and

$$C_j = \bigcup_{i=\delta_{j-1}+1}^{\delta_j} B_{d_i}, \text{ for all } 2 \leq j \leq p,$$

arranged in increasing order. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim S_w &= \dim Z - \dim C_0 = \dim Z - \dim \hat{C}_0 \\ &= \dim \hat{Z} - \sum_{t_j > 1} \sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g - \dim \hat{C}_0 \\ &= \dim S_{\hat{w}} - \sum_{t_j > 1} \sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, understanding what conditions $\hat{w}$ satisfies in order for the above equality to hold amounts to understanding the difference in length that the permutation $\hat{w}$ looses when it is transformed into w. If C_j contains only one B-block from $\hat{w}$, i.e. $t_j = 1$, then this is already ordered in increasing order and it does not contribute to the decrease in dimension. If C_j contains more B-blocks, say

$$C_j = \bigcup_{i=\delta_{j-1}+1}^{\delta_j} B_{d_i},$$

we start with the first block $B_{\delta_{j-1}+1}$ which is already in increasing order and bring the elements from $B_{\delta_{j-1}+2}$ to their correct spots inside the first block. As usual, to each number we can associate a distance, i.e. the number of elements it needs to cross in order to be brought to the correct spot, and we denote by $\alpha_{\delta_{j-1}+2}$ the sum of these distances. The maximum value that $\alpha_{\delta_{j-1}+2}$ can attain is that when all the elements in the second block are smaller than each element in the first block, i.e. the last element in the second block is smaller than the first element in the first block. In this case $\alpha_{\delta_{j-1}+2} = d_{\delta_{j-1}+1} d_{\delta_{j-1}+2}$, the product of the number of elements in the first block with the number of elements in the second block. Next we bring the elements in the 3^{rd} block among the already ordered elements from the first and second block. Observe that the maximal value that $\alpha_{\delta_{j-1}+3}$ can attain is $d_{\delta_{j-1}+1} d_{\delta_{j-1}+3} + d_{\delta_{j-1}+2} d_{\delta_{j-1}+3}$ when the last element in the 3^{rd} block is smaller than all elements in the first two blocks. In general we say that the group of blocks used to form C_j is in **strictly decreasing order** if it satisfies the following: the last element of block B_{i+1} is smaller than the first element of block B_i for all $\delta_{j-1} + 1 \leq i \leq \delta_j - 1$. Consequently, if one wants to order this sequence of blocks into increasing order one needs to cross over

$$\sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g$$

elements. Thus if all the blocks C_j with $t_j > 1$ among w come from groups of blocks arranged in strictly decreasing order in $\hat{w}$, then

$$|w| = |\hat{w}| - \sum_{t_j>1} \sum_{\delta_{j-1}+1 \leq h < g \leq \delta_j} d_h d_g.$$

As a consequence we have the following observation:

Proposition 32. *A Schubert variety $S_{\hat{w}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$ is mapped under the projection map to a Schubert variety $S_w \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}$ if and only if all the blocks C_j with $t_j > 1$ among w come from groups of blocks arranged in strictly decreasing order in $\hat{w}$.*

Chapter 4

The case of the real form $SU(p,q)$

4.1 Preliminaries

Here we are concerned with the action of the real form $G_0 := SU(p,q)$, for all p, q such that $p+q=n$, on an arbitrary flag manifold $Z = G/P$. Without loss of generality we assume throughout this chapter that $p \geq q$. One way of viewing G_0 is as the real form associated to the real structure $\tau : SL(n,\mathbb{C}) \to SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, defined by

$$\tau(A) = I_{p,q}\overline{A}^{-t}I_{p,q}, \text{ where } I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_q & 0 \\ 0 & I_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $h : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is the standard Hermitian form of signature (p,q) defined by

$$h(v,w) = -\sum_{i=1}^{q} v_i\bar{w}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{p} v_{q+i}\bar{w}_{q+1},\ \forall v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

then G_0 is also the group of isometries associated to h. The notions of orthogonality and isotropy of vectors are defined with respect to h. A Cartan involution $\theta : G_0 \to G_0$ is given by $\theta(A) = I_{p,q}AI_{p,q}$ and the maximal compact subgroup associated to it is $K_0 := S(U(p) \times U(q))$.

In contrast to the case of the real forms $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ and $SL(m,\mathbb{H})$, where the number of open orbits is either one or two, here the number of open orbits is huge. This fact has important consequences for the combinatorics associated to this situation and much more complicated considerations arise.

The open G_0-orbits in $Z = Z_\delta = G/P$, with $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s)$ and $\delta_i := \dim V_i$, are parametrized by the sequences $a : 0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_s \leq q$ and $b : 0 \leq b_1 \leq \cdots \leq b_s \leq p$, with $a_i + b_i = \delta_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. For a fixed pair (a,b) the open orbit $D_{a,b}$ is described by the set of all flags $(0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s = \mathbb{C}^n)$ such that

V_i has signature (a_i, b_i) with respect to h for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. In particular, no flags in an open orbit contain degenerate subspaces. For more on the orbit structure of G_0 see [Wol2].

An equivalent parametrization of an open orbit $D_{a,b}$, in a way which is better suited for combinatorial considerations, is given by a binary sequence α constructed from a and b as follows. For $Z = G/B$ and $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ set $\alpha_1 := 0$ if $a_1 = 1$ or otherwise $\alpha_1 = 1$. Construct the sequence inductively by setting $\alpha_i := 0$ if $a_i > a_{i-1}$ or otherwise $\alpha_i := 1$ if $b_i > b_{i-1}$, for all $2 \leq i \leq n$. For a more geometrical meaning the set of values of α_i can be replaced by $\{-, +\}$. Namely, 0 corresponds to $-$ and thus to negative signature and 1 corresponds to $+$ and thus to positive signature. For example, the pair $a : 0 \leq 1 \leq 1 \leq 2 \leq 2$ and $b : 1 \leq 1 \leq 2 \leq 2 \leq 3$ which parametrizes an open orbit of $SU(3,2)$ is equivalent to $\alpha = 10101 = + - + - +$.

For ease of dimension computations, the parabolic P will be parametrized by a dimension sequence $d = (d_1, \dots, d_s)$, where $d_i = \dim(V_i/V_{i-1})$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ with $\dim V_0 = 0$. Equivalently, the open orbits are parametrized by the sequences $a : 0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_s \leq q$ and $b : 0 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_s \leq p$, with $a_i + b_i = d_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. For a fixed pair (a, b) the open orbit $D_{a,b}$ is described by the set of all flags $(0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_s = \mathbb{C}^n)$ such that V_i has signature $(\sum_{j=1}^{i} a_j, \sum_{j=1}^{i} b_j)$ with respect to h, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. From the parametrization by binary sequences it immediately follows that the number of open orbits in $Z = G/B$ is $\binom{n}{p}$, i.e., the number of binary sequences of length n with q 0's and p 1's is the number of ways to choose p slots from n slots.

A suitable parametrization of an open orbit in G/P, for reason that will become clearer later, is described as follows. Given an open orbit $D_{a,b}$, let $f_i := min(a_i, b_i)$ with $a_i + b_i = d_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. The sequence α will be defined by prescribing s adjacent blocks. The block i is defined for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ by letting the first f_i members be equal to $-$. If $a_i > b_i$, then the next $a_i - b_i$ members are set to $-$ and if $b_i > a_i$ then the next $b_i - a_i$ members are set to $+$. Finally, the last f_i members are set to $+$. Call this parametrization the **canonical parametrization** associated to the open orbit $D_{a,b}$. For example, if $G_0 = SU(6,3)$, $d = (2,4,3)$, $a : 1 \leq 1 \leq 1$, and $b : 1 \leq 3 \leq 2$, then $\alpha = (-+)(-++-+)(-++)$.

4.2 Dimension-related computations

Denote $E^- :=< e_1, \dots, e_q >$ and $E^+ :=< e_{q+1}, \dots, e_n >$, where $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is the standard basis in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and consider the decomposition of $\mathbb{C}^n$ as $\mathbb{C}^n = E^- \oplus E^+$.

For a fixed open orbit $D_{a,b}$, the base cycle C_0 is the set

$$C_0 = \{\mathcal{V} \in Z : \dim V_i \cap E^- = \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_j \text{ and } \dim V_i \cap E^+ = \sum_{j=1}^{i} b_j, 1 \leq i \leq s\}.$$

If $\mathcal{V}^-$ and $\mathcal{V}^+$ are complete flags in E^- and E^+ respectively, then one can put them together in the obvious way to obtain complete flags $\mathcal{V}$ that belong to the open orbit $D_{a,b}$ in G/B. By definition, the group K_0 stabilises the set of flags that are constructed in this way. Furthermore, since $K_0 = K_0^- \times K_0^+$ and each factor of K_0 acts transitively on the corresponding factor $\mathcal{V}^-$ and $\mathcal{V}^+$, it follows that K_0 acts transitively on the set of complete flags obtained in this way. This gives $\mathcal{V}$ the structure of a complex manifold. Because K_0 has a unique orbit in $D_{a,b}$ which is a complex manifold it follows that $\mathcal{V}$ is the base cycle C_0.

Note that the above construction defines a bijective holomorphic mapping $\mathcal{V}^- \times \mathcal{V}^+ \to C_0$ and hence C_0 is the product of this flag manifolds. In order to construct a complete flag in C_0, one needs both a complete flag in E^- and a complete flag in E^+. It thus follows that $\mathcal{V}^-$ and $\mathcal{V}^+$ are the full flag manifolds in E^- and E^+, respectively. As a consequence if $Z = G/B$,

$$\dim C_0 = p(p-1)/2 + q(q-1)/2,$$

and the Iwasawa-Schubert varieties must be of dimension pq.

More generally, in the case of $Z = G/P$, for a fixed open orbit $D_{a,b}$, let $d_i = a_i + b_i$. Furthermore, let d^1 be the sequence obtained from considering the sequence a with the 0's removed, let d^2 the sequence obtained from considering the sequence b with the 0's removed and let s_1 and s_2 the number of elements of d^1 and d^2 respectively. Then d^1 defines a partial flag variety in E^-, d^2 defines a partial flag variety in E^+ and the base cycle in $D_{a,b}$ is obtained by putting together these flags. As a consequence, if $Z = G/P$, then

$$\dim C_0 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s_1} d_i^1 d_j^1 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s_2} d_i^2 d_j^2.$$

Of course, the zero elements in both the sequence a and the sequence b do not change the result of computing the dimension of the partial flag manifolds in both E^- and E^+. Thus, one can rewrite

$$\dim C_0 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a_i a_j + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} b_i b_j,$$

and the Iwasawa-Schubert varieties must be of dimension

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (a_i b_j + b_i a_j).$$

Remark. Certain base points in C_0 are particularly easy to describe, namely the coordinate flags associated to the standard basis in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Recall that the coordinate flags are also the fixed points of the torus T of diagonal matrices in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and denote by $\mathrm{Fix}(T)$ the full set of fixed points of T in Z. For future comparison it is important to note that the cardinality of $\mathrm{Fix}(T) \cap C_0$ is $q! \cdot p!$ and this number is independent of the open orbit $D_{a,b}$. For example if D_α, $\alpha = + - + + -$, is an $SU(3,2)$-open orbit, then the T-fixed points inside C_0 are the flags associated to $< e_3, e_1, e_4, e_5, e_2 >$, $< e_3, e_1, e_5, e_4, e_2 >$, $< e_4, e_1, e_3, e_5, e_2 >$, $< e_4, e_1, e_5, e_3, e_2 >$, $< e_5, e_1, e_3, e_4, e_2 >$, $< e_5, e_1, e_4, e_3, e_2 >$, $< e_3, e_2, e_4, e_5, e_1 >$, $\ldots$, $< e_5, e_2, e_4, e_3, e_1 >$.

4.3 Introduction to the combinatorics

We choose B_I to be the stabiliser of the complete flag obtained from the ordered basis

$$(e_1 + e_{2q}, \ldots, e_q + e_{q+1}, e_{2q+1}, \ldots, e_n, e_q - e_{q+1}, \ldots, e_1 - e_{2q}).$$

To see that this is an Iwasawa-Borel subgroup recall that G_0 has a unique closed orbit in $Z = G/B$ and this closed orbit parametrises the set of Iwasawa-Borel subgroups of G. Namely, each Iwasawa-Borel subgroup can be obtained as the subgroup of G which fixes a given point inside the closed orbit. This result can be found in [FHW].

Definition 33. *A flag $\mathcal{V}$ in $Z = G/B$ is called **maximally isotropic** if $V_i \subset V_i^\perp$, for all $1 \leq i \leq q$, $V_{p+i} = V_{q-i}^\perp$, for all $1 \leq i \leq q$.*

Proposition 34. *The closed G_0-orbit in $Z = G/B$ is the set of all maximally isotropic flags.*

Proof. Let (a_i, b_i, c_i) be the triple that denotes the signature of V_i with respect to h, where c_i denotes the dimension of the degeneracy of the restricted form. Then the maximally isotropic flags are precisely the flags of signature $(0,0,i)$, for all V_i, $1 \leq i \leq q$, $(j,0,q)$, for all V_{q+j}, $1 \leq j \leq p-q$ and $(p-q+j, j, q-j)$, for all V_{p+j}, $1 \leq j \leq q$. By Witt's Theorem G_0 acts transitively on the set of such flags. □

Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ be the Lie algebra of $SU(p,q)$. The next paragraphs contain a sketch of the basic ideas of Cartan subalgebras and restricted roots of a real semisimple Lie algebra, in the context of $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$. A more detailed description together with the general results on the Iwasawa decomposition of a real semisimple Lie algebra can be found in [Kna].

The Lie algebra g_0 is the fixed point set of the real structure $\tau : \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$, $\tau(X) = -I_{p,q}\overline{X}^t I_{p,q}$. If $q = 0$ and $p = n$, then g_0 is denoted by $\mathfrak{su}(n)$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \overline{B}^t & D \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathfrak{u}(q), D \in \mathfrak{u}(p), \operatorname{tr} A + \operatorname{tr} D = 0.\}$$

The Cartan involution θ at the Lie algebra level is given by the map $X \mapsto -\overline{X}^t$. This defines the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ as a direct sum of the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces of θ. In terms of matrices,

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathfrak{u}(q), D \in \mathfrak{u}(p), \operatorname{tr} A + \operatorname{tr} D = 0, \}$$

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ \overline{B}^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} : B \text{ is a } p \times q \text{ complex matrix.}\}$$

For fixed $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, let $\operatorname{ad} Y : \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ be the adjoint map defined by $X \mapsto XY - YX$. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is the maximal abelian subspace in $\mathfrak{p}$ given by

$$B = \{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & a_q & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a_i \in \mathbb{R}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq q\},$$

then $\{\operatorname{ad} H : H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ is a commuting family of selfadjoint transformations of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. This gives a decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ as an orthogonal direct sum of simultaneous eigenspaces. The corresponding eigenvalues, which can be seen as members $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ of the dual space of $\mathfrak{a}$, are called restricted roots if they are nonzero and their corresponding eigenspace $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is nonzero. If Ψ denotes the set of restricted roots one can choose a set of positive roots in Ψ, Ψ^+. If $\mathfrak{m} := Z_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the centraliser of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{n}^+ := \sum_{\lambda\in\Psi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, and $\mathfrak{n}^- := \sum_{\lambda\in\Psi^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda}$, then the Iwasawa-decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is $\mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$.

By definition, a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ such that the complexification $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.In general, if $\mathfrak{t}$ is a maximal abelian subspace in m, then $\mathfrak{t} \oplus a$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. In the example of $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ one can choose $\mathfrak{h}_0$ to be

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_q & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_q & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{q-1} & \dots & a_{q-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & a_{q-1} & \dots & \alpha_{q-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_q & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha_q & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{q+1} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \alpha_p \end{pmatrix} : a_i \in \mathbb{R},\ \alpha_i \in i\mathbb{R} \}.$$

If $T_I := Z_G(\mathfrak{h})$ is the maximal torus associated to $\mathfrak{h}$, then it is immediate that the fixed points of T_I in $Z = G/B$ are the complete flags associated to the basis

$$(e_1 + e_{2q}, \dots, e_q + e_{q+1}, e_q - e_{q+1}, \dots, e_1 - e_{2q}, e_{2q+1}, \dots, e_n).$$

It is also immediate that the fixed point associated to the ordered basis

$$(e_1 + e_{2q}, \dots, e_q + e_{q+1}, e_{2q+1}, \dots, e_n, e_q - e_{q+1}, \dots, e_1 - e_{2q}),$$

is a maximally isotropic flag. We choose the full basis above as a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and define the Iwasawa-Borel subgroup B_I to be the isotropy subgroup of G at this point.

It is convenient to differentiate in terms of notation between the Weyl group of G with respect to T, given by the isomorphism associated to the standard basis in $\mathbb{C}^n$, denoted by Σ_n^T and the Weyl group of G with respect to T_I, given by the isomorphism associated to the basis that defines the Iwasawa-Borel subgroup, denoted by Σ_n^I. Of course, this two groups are isomorphic and a particularly useful isomorphism in this situation is $\gamma_I : \Sigma_n^I \to \Sigma_n^T$, $\gamma(i) = i - p + q$, if $i > p$, $\gamma(i) = i + q$, if $q < i \leq p$ and $\gamma(i) = i$, if $i \leq q$, for $p > q$. The isomorphism γ_I is defined to be the **canonical isomorphism** in between the two Weyl groups. If $p = q$ this is just the identity map.

Definition 35. *A permutation $w \in \Sigma_n^I$ is said to satisfy the **pairing condition** if the number $n - i + 1$ stays at the left of the number i for all $1 \leq i \leq q$ in the one line notation of the permutation. (When $p > q$, the order of the numbers $q + i$ is arbitrary for all $1 \leq i \leq p - q$.)*

Geometrically this condition says that the first subspace in a flag of S_w that contains a point of the form $\beta_1(e_1 + e_{2q}) + \dots + \beta_i(e_i + e_{n-i+1}) + \dots + (e_i - e_{n-i+1})$ sits to the left of the first subspace of the flag which contains a point of the form $\beta_1(e_1 + e_{2q}) + \dots + (e_i + e_{n-i+1})$ and this gives the possibility of splitting the degeneracy.



Figure 4.1: Strictly pairing condition example.

Definition 36. *A permutation $w \in \Sigma_n^I$ is said to satisfy the **strictly pairing condition** if it is constructed by the following algorithm: consider the pairs $(n-i+1, i)$ in order from $i = 1$ until $i = q$ and place them step by step in a sequence of n empty boxes, in such a way that the components of each pair sit as close as possible to each other. This means that once a pair is placed its position can be ignored so that the places at the immediate left and right of this pair become adjacent. After all the pairs are placed, in the case when $p > q$, the numbers $q + i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p - q$ are placed in the remaining spots in strictly increasing order.*

Example. In $SU(4, 2)$ we have two pairs $(6, 1)$ and $(5, 2)$ and 15 permutations that satisfy the strictly pairing condition. These are $(61)(52)34$, $(61)3(52)4$, $3(61)(52)4$, $(61)34(52)$, $3(61)4(52)$, $34(61)(52)$, $(52)(61)34$, $(52)3(61)4$, $3(52)(61)4$, $(52)34(61)$, $3(52)4(61)$, $34(52)(61)$, $(5(61)2)34$, $3(5(61)2)4$, $34(5(61)2)$. The permutation "4(5(61)2)3" does not satisfy the strictly pairing condition because 3 and 4 are not in increasing order in the permutation.

4.4 Main results for $Z = G/B$

Let $d := p(p-1)/2 + q(q-1)/2$ denote the dimension of any of the base cycles C_0^α. Recall that a cycle in the Barlet space $C^d(Z)$ is a formal linear combination $C = n_1C_1 + \cdots + n_kC_k$ with positive integral coefficients where each C_j is an irreducible $d-$dimensional compact subvariety of Z. Let $\mathcal{C} := \sum C_0^\alpha$ be the cycle in Z obtained by summing over all the base cycles C_0^α associated to each one of the $SU(p,q)$-open orbits D_α. The first part of this section is concerned with the description of $\mathcal{C}$ in the homology ring of Z. That is we are interested in finding all Iwasawa-Schubert varieties which have non-empty intersection with at least one of the summands involved in the definition of $\mathcal{C}$ and which are of dimension pq. Furthermore, for each such Iwasawa-Schubert variety we describe the base cycles that it intersects their total number and their respective points of intersection. A unified formula for $[\mathcal{C}]$ can be found in 4.1 below. Finally, the second part of this section provides a description of the homology class of each base cycle C_0^α, as stated in 4.2 below.

Proposition 37. *A Schubert variety S_w intersects at least one open orbit if and only if w satisfies the pairing condition.*

Proof. Assume first that w satisfies the pairing condition and prove that S_w intersects at least one open orbit. Let $\tilde{w}$ be the image of w under the canonical isomorphism γ_I defined above and let $Q_{\tilde{w}}$ be the coordinate flag associated to $\tilde{w}$:

$$< e_{\tilde{w}(1)} > \subset < e_{\tilde{w}(1)}, e_{\tilde{w}(2)} > \subset \cdots \subset < e_{\tilde{w}(1)}, \ldots, e_{\tilde{w}(n)} > = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

This is clearly a flag that lies in an open orbit (and also in one base cycle). The claim is that this flag belongs to the orbit $B_I.Q_w$, where Q_w is the coordinate flag associated to w. This is proved by induction.

At step one observe that by assumption $w(1) > q$. If $p > q$ and $w(1) \leq p$, then the orbit $B_I. < e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$ clearly contains the point $e_{\tilde{w}(1)}$. Otherwise, the orbit of interest is $B_I. < e_{n-w(1)+1} - e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$. Because B_I fixes Q it follows that $< e_{n-w(1)+1} + e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$ sits in Q before $< e_{n-w(1)+1} - e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$. This implies that the B_I-orbit contains the point

$$< \beta(e_{n-w(1)+1} + e_{\tilde{w}(1)}) + (e_{n-w(1)+1} - e_{\tilde{w}(1)}) >,$$

and for $\beta := -1$ this is $< e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$.

By induction one constructs the j^{th} dimensional subspace of the complete flag given by

$$< e_{\tilde{w}(1)} > \subset \cdots \subset < e_{\tilde{w}(1)}, \ldots, e_{\tilde{w}(j)} > .$$

At the step $j+1$ two possibilities exist: either $w(j+1) > q$ or $w(j+1) \leq q$. If $p > q$ and $q < w(j+1) \leq p$, then the orbit $B_I. < e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)} >$ clearly contains the point $e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)}$. The case when $w(j+1) > p$ is equivalent to the fact that $< e_{n-w(j+1)+1} + e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)} >$ sits in $Q_{\tilde{w}}$ before $< e_{n-w(j+1)+1} - e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)} >$. This in turn is equivalent to saying that $B_I. < e_{n-w(j+1)+1} - e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)} >$ contains the point

$$< \beta(e_{n-w(j+1)+1} + e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)}) + (e_{n-w(j+1)+1} - e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)}) >,$$

which for $\beta = -1$ is $< e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)} >$. Therefore the flag

$$< e_{w(1)} > \subset \cdots \subset < e_{w(1)}, \ldots, e_{w(j)}, e_{w(j+1)} >,$$

is constructed.

Finally, if $w(j+1) \leq q$, then, $w(j+1) = \tilde{w}(j+1)$ and because w satisfies the pairing condition, the number $n - w(j+1) + 1$ sits before the number $w(j+1)$ in w. By induction it follows that $e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j+1)+1)}$ appears among the e_i's included in the span of $< e_{\tilde{w}(1)}, \ldots, e_{\tilde{w}(j)} >$. Since the point $< e_{w(j+1)} + e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j+1)+1)} >$ is obviously included in the B_I-orbit of itself at this stage one constructs the subspace

$$< e_{w(1)}, \ldots, e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j+1)+1)}, \ldots, e_{w(j)}, e_{w(j+1)} + e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j)+1)} >,$$

which equals

$$< e_{\tilde{w}(1)}, \ldots, e_{\tilde{w}(j)}, e_{\tilde{w}(j+1)} > .$$

To prove the converse implication assume that w does not satisfy the pairing condition. The claim is that S_w does not intersect any open orbit. Assume that $w(j)$ is the first number smaller than q that sits in the permutation w before its corresponding pair $n - w(j) + 1$. This means that until this point at least one flag $(0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{j-1})$ of non-degenerate signature can be constructed, namely $< e_{\tilde{w}(1)} > \subset \cdots \subset < e_{\tilde{w}(1)}, \ldots, e_{\tilde{w}(j-1)} >$. But there can be others, of course.

Next one tries to add to any of these flags a point from the B_I- orbit of $< e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j)+1)} + e_{w(j)} >$. Because this subspace sits in Q before any subspace that contains the point $< e_{w(i)} - e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j)+1)} >$, the B_I-orbit contains the following points:

$$< \beta_1(e_1 + e_{2q}) + \beta_2(e_2 + e_{2q-1}) + \cdots + (e_{w(j)} + e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j)+1)}) >,$$

and this subspace is isotropic for all values of β_i. The only option to kill this degeneracy would be to use $< e_{w(j)} - e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j)+1} >$ but by our assumption none of the $e_{w(j)}$ or $e_{\tilde{w}(n-w(j)+1)}$ sit in any of the flags $(0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{j-1})$. It follows that S_w does not intersect any open orbit and this completes the proof. $\square$

If w satisfies the strictly pairing condition, let $Perm_w := \{\gamma_I(w') : w'$ is obtained from w by making none, some or all of the transpositions $(n-i+1, i),$ for $i \leq q.\}$ Define by T_w the subset of $\mathrm{Fix}(T)$ that consists of all complete flags associated to elements of the Weyl group in the set $Perm_w \subset \Sigma_n^T$. It is immediate that the cardinality of both $Perm_w$ and T_w is 2^q. For example, consider $w = 615234$, a permutation that satisfies the pairing condition for $p = 4$ and $q = 2$. Then $Perm_w = \{413256, 143256, 412356, 142356\}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{p,q}$ the set of all Iwasawa-Schubert varieties S_w, which intersect at least one open orbit and are parametrized by a Weyl group element of length pq. In general, for a set C denote its power set by $\mathcal{P}(C)$.

Lemma 38. *If $w \in \Sigma_n^I$ satisfies the pairing condition and $|w| = pq$, then the pair $(n, 1)$ sits inside consecutive boxes in w.*

Proof. Assume that the pair $(n, 1)$ does not sit inside consecutive boxes. Because w satisfies the pairing condition it follows that n sits at the left of 1 in the one line notation of w and there exists at least one other number between n and 1. Let f be the minimum of the numbers that sit in between n and 1 and construct w' out of w by interchanging f and 1. Then w' still satisfies the pairing condition, but $|w'| < |w|$. This is of course a contradiction to the fact that no Schubert variety of dimension less than the codimension of the base cycle has nonempty intersection with it. □

Theorem 39. *A Schubert variety S_w that intersects at least one open orbit is parametrized by an element $w \in \Sigma_n^I$ of length pq if and only if w satisfies the strictly pairing condition. Under this condition S_w intersects exactly 2^q open orbits and it intersects the base cycles in each one of them in precisely one point. Moreover, the map $f : I_{p,q} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Fix}(T))$, defined by $S_w \mapsto T_w$, is injective and $f(S_w)$ consists of the points of intersection of S_w with the base cycles inside the 2^q open orbits.*

Proof. The first goal is to prove that S_w intersects at least one open orbit with $w \in \Sigma_n^I$ of length pq if and only if w satisfies the strictly pairing condition. This is done by induction on the dimension of the flag manifold in the following way. Assume without loss of generality that $p > 1$, and $q > 1$. The case when either one of them is 1 follows immediately from the above lemma.

Remove the numbers 1 and n from the set $\{1, 2, \ldots . n\}$ to obtain a set Σ with $n-2$ elements and define the bijective map $\Phi : \Sigma \to \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\}$, by $\Phi(x) = x - 1$. By induction one constructs all $w' \in \Sigma_{n-2}^I$ that satisfy the strictly pairing condition. In particular, any such w' has length $(p-1)(q-1)$, satisfies the pairing condition and parametrizes an element of $\mathcal{I}_{p-1,q-1}$.

Now return to the original situation by defining for each w' a corresponding $w \in \Sigma_n^I$. This is done by first taking the preimage of w' under Φ and then, using the above lemma, adjoining to this in two arbitrary consecutive places the pair $(n, 1)$. It is clear that the newly formed permutation w satisfies the strictly pairing condition. It remains to check that w has minimal length, namely pq. For this, let f denote the number of position at the left of 1 in w. Then $n - f - 1$ is the number of positions at the right of 1 (and implicitly n as well) in w. In order to compute the length of w from the length of w', one must add to $(p-1)(q-1)$, f for the number of positions that 1 needs to cross to be placed in the first box, together with $n - f - 1$, for each element at the right of n. This amounts to $pq - p - q + 1 + f + n - f - 1 = pq$.

The second goal is to show that if w satisfies the strictly pairing condition, then the points of intersection are precisely the elements of T_w and no other point in S_w has suitable intersection with E^+ and E^- (it does not respect the intersection dimension condition imposed to flags in C_0). The final goal is to show that all this points lie in different orbits. As usually, a main ingredient is that the intersection of S_w with any open orbit is inside the Schubert cell which defines S_w. Without loss of generality assume $p > q$. The case when $p = q$ is analogous and corresponds to γ_I being equal to the identity map.

At the first step, because w satisfies the strictly pairing condition, $w(1) > q$. Consider first the case when $w(1) > p$, and look at the orbit of $< e_{(2q-\tilde{w}(1)+1)} - e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$ under the B_I action:

$$\beta_1(e_1 + e_{2q}) + \cdots + \beta_q(e_q + e_{q+1}) + \cdots + (e_{2q-\tilde{w}(1)+1} - e_{\tilde{w}(1)}).$$

The idea is to find all possible one-dimensional subspaces spanned only by vectors in E^+ or only by vectors in E^-. Two obvious ones are the spaces spanned by $< e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$ and $< e_{2q-\tilde{w}(1)+1} >$. The claim is that these are the only possible ones that could complete to a full flag so that it has suitable intersection with E^+ and E^-.

Suppose that one can start with a flag of the type $< e_{\tilde{w}(1)} + \beta\, e_j >$, where j is any number smaller than $\tilde{w}(1)$ but bigger than q or with a flag of the type $< e_{2q-\tilde{w}(1)+1} + e_j >$, where j is any number bigger than $2q - \tilde{w}(1) + 1$ but smaller than q. Now assume that it is possible to complete this to a $k-1$-dimensional subspace F that has suitable intersection with E^- and E^+, where $w(k) = n - w(1) + 1$, i.e. the signature of F is (a, b) and $dim F \cap E^+ = a$, $dim F \cap E^- = b$, $a + b = k - 1$.

In order to obtain the next k-dimensional subspace one needs to add a point from

the B_I-orbit of $< e_{2q-\tilde{w}(1)+1} + e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$. Because none of the subspaces considered to construct F contain neither of $e_{2q-\tilde{w}(1)+1}$ or $e_{\tilde{w}(1)}$ as free vectors, one needs to add their sum to obtain the k-dimensional subspace. This means that the new one-dimensional space just added will have intersection with both E^+, since $e_{\tilde{w}(1)}$ is in there, and, E^- since $e_{2q-\tilde{w}(1)+1}$ is in there. This is of course a contradiction.

In the case when $q < w(1) \leq p$, the only possible value for $w(1)$ is $q+1$ (or equivalently, $\tilde{w}(1) = 2q+1$). That is because w satisfies the strictly pairing condition and thus the numbers $q < i \leq p$ are arranged in increasing order inside w. Consequently, the B_I orbit of $< e_{2q+1} >$ contains points of the form $< \beta_1(e_1 + e_{2q}) + \cdots + \beta_q(e_q + e_{q+1}) + e_{2q+1} >$. It is immediate that the only point inside B_I which suitably intersects either only E^- or only E^+ is $< e_{2q+1} >$.

By induction assume that $< e_{\tilde{w}(1)} >$ and $< e_{2q-\tilde{w}(1)+1} >$ can be completed to a $k-$dimensional flag by consistently choosing either $e_{\tilde{w}(j)}$ or $e_{2q-\tilde{w}(j)+1}$, if $w(j) > p$ or $w(j) < q$, and $e_{\tilde{w}(j)}$, if $q < w(j) \leq p$, at each step $j \leq k$, to complete the flag and this is the only possibility to do this.

First assume that $w(k+1) < q$. This means that $n - w(k+1) + 1$ was encountered before in the permutation and either $e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)}$ or $e_{2q-\tilde{w}(k+1)+1}$ were considered when building the $k-$dimensional subspaces. The B_I orbit of $< e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)} + e_{2q-\tilde{w}(k+1)+1)} >$ contains points of the form $< \beta_1(e_1 + e_{2q}) + \cdots + (e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)} + e_{2q-\tilde{w}(k+1)+1}) >$. It is immediate that the only way to obtain a $k+1$-dimensional subspace that has suitable intersection with E^+ and E^- is to add $< e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)} + e_{2q-\tilde{w}(k+1)+1)} >$ to the $k-$dimensional subspace considered before which will be equivalent to only adding $e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)}$ or $e_{2q-\tilde{w}(k+1)+1)}$ depending on which one of them had previously appeared in the flag before.

If $w(k+1) > p$, then as in the case of $w(1)$, there exists the possibility of adding to the k-dimensional subspace built by induction either $e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)}$ or $e_{2q-\tilde{w}(k+1)+1}$ or a space of the form $e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)} + \beta\, e_j$, where j is any number smaller than $\tilde{w}(k+1)$ but bigger than q, or $e_{2q-\tilde{w}(k+1)+1} + \beta\, e_j$, where j is any number smaller than q but bigger then $2q - \tilde{w}(k+1) + 1$. The same reasoning as in the first step of the induction shows that none of the options except the first two are possible.

Finally, assume that $q < w(k+1) \leq p$. By induction and the strictly pairing condition it follows that the k-dimensional subspace F contains among its spanning vectors, e_{q+i}, for all $q < i < w(k+1)$. Thus the only relevant points in the B_I orbit of $< e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)} >$ are the points of the form $< \beta_1(e_1 + e_{2q}) + \cdots + \beta_q(e_q + e_{q+1}) + e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)} >$. It is immediate that the only point inside B_I which suitably intersects either only E^- or only E^+ is $< e_{\tilde{w}(k+1)} >$.

This ends the proof of the fact that the set of points of intersection of S_w with

different base cycles is T_w. To see that all this points lie in different open orbits let $D_{\alpha(w)}$ be the open orbit that contains the point associated to $\tilde{w}$. If $\gamma_I(w') \in Perm_w$, let $\alpha(w')$ be the sequence of $+$'s and $-$'s obtained from $\alpha(w)$ by performing the same transpositions that are performed to obtain w' form w to $\alpha(w)$. Then from the definition of T_w it follows that the point in T_w associated with $\gamma_I(w')$ belongs to the open orbit $D_{\alpha(w')}$ and if $\gamma_I(w') \neq \gamma_I(w'')$ are two elements of $Perm_w$, then $\alpha(w') \neq \alpha(w'')$. □

Figure 4.2 on the next page is a graphic representation of Theorem 39 where the Iwasawa-Schubert variety parametrized by $w = 45910126783$ intersects 8 of the open orbits of $SU(7,3)$. The points of intersection are depicted in red. At the right of each representation of an open orbit the parametrisation α together with the point of intersection are given explicitly.

Example. If $p = 3$ and $q = 2$ then the members of $\mathcal{I}_{p,q}$ are $(51)(42)3$, $(51)3(42)$, $3(51)(42)$, $(42)(51)3$, $(42)3(51)$, $3(42)(51)$, $(4(51)2)3$, $3(4(51)2)$.

Corollary 40. *The cardinality of $\mathcal{I}_{p,q}$ is equal to*

$$m_q := (n-1) \cdot (n-3) \dots (n-2q+1).$$

Proof. Observe that if $p > q$ in the strictly pairing condition algorithm, once the pairs $(n-i+1, i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$ are placed the numbers $q < i \leq 2q$ have a fixed position. Thus the problem of computing the cardinality of $\mathcal{I}_{p,q}$ is equivalent to counting the number of possibilities to place adjacently q pairs of numbers inside n boxes. The result follows by induction. □

It thus follows that the homology class $[\mathcal{C}]$ of the cycle $\mathcal{C}$ inside the homology ring of Z is given in terms of Schubert classes of elements in $I_{p,q}$ by

$$[\mathcal{C}] = 2^q \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}_{p,q}} [S], \tag{4.1}$$

and the sum is over m_q summands.

Remark. Note that in both the case of the real form $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ and in the case of the real form $SU(p,q)$ there exists a fixed total number of Iwasawa-Schubert varieties which intersect at least one base cycle. This depends only on n or q respectively (and not on a specific open orbit). In the first case the number is $n!!$ and in the second case the number is $(n-1)\cdot(n-3)\dots(n-2q+1)$. Moreover, for each such Iwasawa-Schubert variety there is a canonical associated set of points of intersection with different base cycles and their number again only depends on n and q (and not on the Iwasawa-Schubert variety). In the first case the number is



Figure 4.2: Graphic representation of Theorem 39

$2^{n/2}$ for n even and $2^{(n-1)/2}$ for n odd, while in the second case the number is 2^q. Depending on how many open orbits there are, the points distribute themselves into the corresponding cycles in equal numbers. So in the case of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ for the odd dimensional case there is only one open orbit and thus the $2^{(n-1)/2}$ points of intersection all go to the same cycle. In the even dimensional case there are two open orbits so the points distribute themselves into half, $2^{n/2-1}$ in one orbit and $2^{n/2-1}$ in the other orbit. In the $SU(p, q)$ case there are more than 2^q open orbits but a maximal number of points, 2^q, available to each Iwasawa-Schubert variety. So each Iwasawa-Schubert variety will intersect 2^q different open orbits and so the points will distribute as one in each orbit.

The next result gives an algorithm that describes the Iwasawa-Schubert varieties together with their points of intersection for a given fixed open orbit.

Theorem 41. *Let D_α be an open orbit parametrized by the sequence α. Then the following algorithm gives all the Schubert varieties that intersect the open orbit and are of dimension pq as well as their points of intersection:*

- *Consider two copies of α denoted by e and w respectively. Step by step replace the $-$'s and $+$'s in e with the pairs (e_i, e_{2q-i+1}) starting with $i = 1$ and going down until $i = q$ and all pairs are used. In w the replacement starts with the pairs $(n - i + 1, i)$ for $i = 1$ and goes on until $i = q$ and all pairs are used.*

- *The replacement of the pairs is carried out using the following rule: at each step in e, e_i will replace a $-$ and e_{2q-i+1} a $+$ and the $-$ and $+$ to be replaced need to be adjacent in α, namely they need to be consecutive as $-+$ or $+-$ or they need to sit at the immediate right and left of other already introduced pairs. In w the replacement is carried out in parallel with e and in the same way as in e with the condition that whenever e_i sits before e_{2q-i+1} in e, in w, $n - i + 1$ is placed on the corresponding sit to e_i and i is placed on the corresponding sit to e_{2q-i+1}.*

- *The remaining single elements e_i, for all $p + 1 \leq i \leq n$, and i for all $q + 1 \leq i \leq p$ are placed in the remaining spots in increasing order of their indices.*

Each w obtained from this algorithm parametrizes an Iwasawa-Schubert variety S_w that intersects the open orbit in exactly one point, its corresponding e. If T_α denotes the set of intersection points associated to an open orbit D_α and $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the set of all open orbits D_α, then $f : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Fix}(T))$, given by $D_\alpha \mapsto T_\alpha$, is injective.



Figure 4.3: Graphic representation of Theorem 41 for an open $SU(4,2)$-orbit.

Proof. The algorithm constructs all Schubert varieties associated to the open orbit that satisfy the necessary and suffiecient conditions of **Theorem 39** in order for such Schubert varieties to intersect the open orbit. □

Figure 4.3 constructs one of the Schubert varieties which intersects the open orbit parametrized by $\alpha = ++-++-$ together with its point of intersection following the algorithm described in 41.

Let C_0^α be the base cycle associated to the open orbit D_α and let $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}^\alpha$ be the set of Iwasawa-Schubert varieties associated to C_0^α. It then follows that the homology class $[C_0^\alpha]$ of the base cycle inside the homology ring of Z is given in terms of the Schubert classes of elements in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}^\alpha$ by:

$$[C_0^\alpha] = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}^\alpha} [S]. \tag{4.2}$$

Example1. The orbit parametrized by $\alpha = + + \cdots + - - \cdots -$, namely p consecutive $+$'s and q consecutive $-$'s is intersected only by one Schubert variety. If $p > q$, the Schubert variety is parametrized by $(q+1)\dots(2q)(n-q+1)\dots(n)(1)\dots(q)$ and the point of intersection is $e_{n-q+1}\dots e_n e_{q+1}\dots e_{2q} e_1 \dots e_q$. If $p = q$, the Schubert variety is parametrized by $(q+1)\dots(n)(1)\dots(q)$ and the point of intersection is $e_{q+1}\dots e_n e_1 \dots e_q$.

Example2. The open orbit parametrized by $\alpha = + \cdots + (+-)(+-)\dots(+-)$, a sequence of $p-q$ $+$'s for the case when $p > q$ and q pairs $(+-)$ is intersected by $(2q)!! = (2q-1)\cdot(2q-3)\dots 1$ Iwasawa-Schubert varieties. Note that if $p = q$ this is the same number as the cardinality of $\mathcal{I}_{p,q}$.

Example3. The open orbit parametrized by $\alpha = (+-+)(+-+)\dots(+-+)(+-+) + \cdots +$, for $p \geq q$, is intersected by $2q \cdot (2q-2) \cdot (2q-4)\dots 2$.

4.5 Main results for $Z = G/P$

In contrast to both the case of open $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$-orbits and of open $SL(m,\mathbb{H})$-orbits, in the case of $SU(p,q)$ every open orbit in $Z = G/P$ is measurable. As usual, the Weyl group element w that parametrizes a Schubert variety S_w is divided into **blocks** B_i corresponding to the dimension sequence $(d_1,\dots,d_s)$ defining the parabolic subgroup P.

Definition 42. *A permutation w is said to satisfy the **generalized pairing condition** if for each block B_i the elements inside B_i can be rearranged to form a new permutation $\hat{w}$ that satisfies the pairing condition.*

Theorem 43. *A Schubert variety S_w has non-empty intersection with at least one open $SU(p,q)$-orbit if and only if w satisfies the generalized pairing condition, i.e. if and only if there exists a lift of S_w to a Schubert variety $\hat{S}_{\hat{w}}$ that intersects at least one open orbit in $Z = G/B$.*

Proof. If w satisfies the generalized pairing condition, then one can find another representative $\hat{w}$ of the parametrization coset of S_w that satisfies the pairing condition and thus a Schubert variety $\hat{S}_{\hat{w}}$ that intersects at least one open orbit. Because the projection map π is equivariant it follows that $\pi(S_{\hat{w}}) = S_w$ has non-empty intersection with at least one open orbit.

Conversely, suppose that S_w has non-empty intersection with at least one open orbit, say D, and consequently with at least one base cycle, say C_0. Then for every point $p \in S_w \cap C_0$ there exists $\hat{p} \in \hat{S}_{\hat{w}} \cap \hat{C}_0$, where $\hat{C}_0$ is the base cycle inside a choice of lifting $\hat{D}$ for D, with $\pi(\hat{p}) = p$ and $\pi(\hat{S}_{\hat{w}}) = S_w$ for some Schubert variety in G/B indexed by $\hat{w}$. It follows that $\hat{w}$ satisfies the pairing condition and w is obtained from $\hat{w}$ by dividing $\hat{w}$ into blocks $B_1 \dots B_s$ and arranging the elements in each such block in increasing order. This shows that w satisfies the generalized pairing condition. □

Recall that in the G/P case the dimension of each base cycle is dependent on the dimension sequence that defines the corresponding open orbit. Thus the dimension of the Iwasawa-Schubert varieties will vary with the open orbit. However, the canonical parametrization of an open orbit will turn out to be a useful tool in giving a unifying algorithm to describe the Iwasawa-Schubert varieties for an arbitrary open orbit.

Definition 44. *Let $D_{a,b}$ be an open orbit in $Z = G/P$ and start with e and w being two copies of the canonical parametrization α associated to $D_{a,b}$. Then e and w are said to satisfy the* ***generalized strictly pairing condition for*** *$D_{a,b}$ if they are constructed by the following algorithm:*

- *Let $f_j = min(a_j, b_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$ and consider the pairs (e_i, e_{2q-i+1}), for all $1 \leq i \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} f_j$. For each block j in e choose f_j arbitrary pairs from the above considered pairs. Replace the first f_j $-'s$, with the e_i's of the chosen pairs arranged in increasing order of their indices, and replace the last f_j $+$'s with the e_{2q-i+1}'s of the chosen pairs arranged in increasing order of their indices. For w one considers the pairs $(i, n-i+1)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} f_j$ and the replacement is done in parallel with e and in the same way as in e.*

- *The remaining pairs (e_i, e_{2q-i+1}) and $(i, n-i+1)$, for all $\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_j < i \leq q$, together with the single elements e_i, for all $2q+1 \leq i \leq n$, and i, for all*

$q+1 \leq i \leq p$ corresponding to e and w respectively, are placed by using the algorithm of Theorem 41 for the sequences e and w obtained by ignoring the already replaced spots and seen as parametrizing an open orbit in G/B.

If w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition for a fixed open orbit $D_{a,b}$, let $\hat{w}$ be the permutation obtained from w by making the following choice of rearrangements inside each block. Assume without loss of generality that $p > q$ and consider an arbitrary block $d_i = a_i + b_i$, with $f_i = min(a_i, b_i)$, which is of course arranged in increasing order.

By definition the block d_i contains f_i pairs (h_j, g_j) with $h_j < q$ and $g_j > n - q$ for all $1 \leq j \leq f_i$ and k_j numbers that belong to the set $\{q+1, \ldots, p\}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq max(a_i, b_i) - min(a_i, b_i)$. Rearrange this block by placing the numbers h_j in increasing order at the right of the biggest number among the g_j's. Note that now this block satisfies the strictly pairing condition. Moreover, in order to go back to the rearrangement of the numbers in increasing order one needs to loose $a_i b_i$ in length, because each h_j is smaller than each g_j and also than each k_j. Call $\hat{w}$ the **canonical rearrangement** of w.

Let $\hat{\alpha}$ be the sequence obtained from the canonical parametrization α associated to $D_{a,b}$ by placing in each block i, the first f_i $-$'s to the end of the block. By definition and Theorem 41, $\hat{w}$ parametrizes an Iwasawa-Schubert variety corresponding to $D_{\hat{\alpha}}$. Call the base cycle $\hat{C}_0$ inside $D_{\hat{\alpha}}$ the **canonical lifting** of the base cycle C_0.

Theorem 45. *A Schubert variety S_w is an Iwasawa-Schubert variety for the open orbit $D_{a,b}$ if and only if w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition for $D_{a,b}$, i.e. if and only if S_w lifts to $S_{\hat{w}}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{C}_0}$, where $\hat{w}$ is the canonical rearrangement of w and $\hat{C}_0$ is the canonical lifting of C_0. Under this condition, S_w intersects $D_{a,b}$ in precisely one point constructed by the generalized strictly pairing condition algorithm together with w.*

Proof. If w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition for $D_{a,b}$, then by the above observation the canonical rearrangement $\hat{w}$ of w is just another representative of the parametrization coset of S_w. Moreover, $\hat{w}$ satisfies the strictly pairing condition and thus parametrizes a Schubert variety $S_{\hat{w}}$ that intersects $\hat{C}_0$. Since the projection map π is equivariant, it follows that $\pi(S_{\hat{w}}) = S_w$ intersects C_0.

Conversely, assume that S_w lifts to $S_{\hat{w}}$ such that $\hat{w}$ satisfies the strictly pairing condition and $S_{\hat{w}}$ is an Iwasawa-Schubert variety for $\hat{C}_0$ which is the canonical lift of C_0. Then, $\dim S_{\hat{w}} - \dim S_w = (\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i)(\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s}(a_i b_j + b_i a_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i b_i$ and this happens precisely when w satisfies the generalized strictly pairing condition. $\square$

Let C_0^α be the base cycle associated to the open orbit D_α with α the canonical parametrization for open orbits in G/P and let $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}^\alpha$ be the set of Iwasawa-Schubert varieties associated to C_0^α. It then follows that the homology class $[C_0^\alpha]$ of the base cycle inside the homology ring of Z is given in terms of the Schubert classes of elements in $\mathcal{S}_{C_0}^\alpha$ by:

$$[C_0^\alpha] = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_{C_0}^\alpha} [S].$$

Example (Maximal parabolics). Let Z be identified with $Gr(k,n)$, the Grassmannian of k planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Equivalently, P is a maximal parabolic subgroup defined by the dimension sequence $(k, n-k)$. Let $D_{a,b}$ be an arbitrary open orbit parametrized by $a : 0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq q$ and $b : 0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq p$, with $a_1 + b_1 = k$, $a_2 + b_2 = n - k$ and as usually $a_1 + a_2 = q$ and $b_1 + b_2 = p$. Let $f_1 := min(a_1, b_1)$, $f_2 := min(a_2, b_2)$ and $f := max(f_1, f_2)$. The two blocks inside the canonical parametrization of $D_{a,b}$ look like $[(- - \cdots - -)(+ \cdots +)(+ + \cdots - -)]$ or $[(- - \cdots - -)(- \cdots -)(+ + \cdots + +)]$. Then, it follows from the above theorem that the number of Schubert varieties of dimension pq intersecting this open orbit is

$$\binom{f_1 + f_2}{f}$$

Let us write down the concrete Schubert varieties for $Z = Gr(5, 11)$ and $G_0 = SU(7, 4)$ and $D_{a,b}$ is parametrized by $a_1 = 3$, $a_2 = 1$ and $b_1 = 2$, $b_2 = 5$. Then $f_1 = 2$, $f_2 = 1$ and $\alpha = [(--)(-)(++)][(-)(+ + ++)(+)]$. The first $f_1 + f_2$ pairs are $(11, 1)$, $(10, 2)$ and $(9, 3)$. Following the algorithm described in the above theorem, one can choose two arbitrary pairs among these for the first block of α and one pair for the second block of α. Then the remaining pair $(8, 4)$ together with the numbers $5, 6, 7$ are placed using the algorithm for the G/B case for the orbit parametrized by $- + + + +$. For a graphic representation of this see Figure 4.4. This gives the following 3 Schubert varieties: 1281011345679, 1389112456710, 2389101456711.


I.
11 1 10 2 9 3 8 4 5 6 7
- - - + + - + + + + +
II.
11 1 10 2 9 3 8 4 5 6 7
- - - + + - + + + + +
III.
11 1 10 2 9 3 8 4 5 6 7
- - - + + - + + + + +
IV.
8 4 5 6 7
1 3 - 9 11 2 + + + + 10



Figure 4.4: Generalised strictly pairing condition example.

Bibliography

[Bar] D. Barlet. Espace analytique réduit des cycles analytiques complexes compacts d'un espace analytique complexe de dimension finie. In François Norguet, editor, *Fonctions de Plusieurs Variables Complexes II SE - 1*, volume 482 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 1–158. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1975.

[BK] D. Barlet and V. Koziarz. Fonctions holomorphes sur l'espace des cycles: la méthode d'intersection. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 7:537–549, 2000.

[FHW] G. Fels, A. Huckleberry, and J. A. Wolf. *Cycle Spaces of Flag Domains*, volume 245 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Boston, 2006.

[HS] A. Huckleberry and A. Simon, (Appendix by D. Barlet). On cycle spaces of flag domains of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2001(541):171–208, 2001.

[HW] A. Huckleberry and J. A. Wolf. Cycle spaces of real forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. In *Complex Geometry*, pages 111–133. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[Kna] A. W. Knapp. *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*. Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 2 edition, 2002.

[Wol1] J. A. Wolf. The action of a real semisimple group on a complex flag manifold. I: Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 75(6):1121–1237, November 1969.

[Wol2] J. A. Wolf. Fine structure of Hermitian symmetric spaces. In W. M. Boothby and G.L. Weiss, editors, *Symmetric Spaces*, pages 271–357. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.

[Wol3] J. A. Wolf. Exhaustion functions and cohomology vanishing theorems for open orbits on complex flag manifolds. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 2(2), 1995.